Chhetri Academy

chhetriacademy.com

GCSE MATHS

Geometry and measures

Edexcel 1MA1 | Subtopic collection Topic 50

Bearings and scale drawings

Foundation tier

Answers and examiner comments

43	2012-2025	Foundation
question groups	available papers	tier

Using this booklet

1. Answer numbers match the companion question booklet.
2. Follow the original question number on marking tables.
3. Examiner comments are included where available.

Name _____ **Date** _____

Some entries use full source marking material in an appendix. Any unavailable mark schemes are identified beside the relevant answer.

Question		Working	Answer	Mark	Notes
6		7.5 × 2	14.6 – 15.4	2	M1 for “7.5” × 2 or 7.5 (±2mm) seen A1 14.6 – 15.4

Question		Working	Answer	Mark	Notes
14	(a)	Draws triangle to within tolerances	Scaled diagram drawn	3	B3 for complete and correct scaled diagram drawn (B1 for one scaled length drawn, ±2mm B1 for 50° angle drawn, ±2°) See overlay
	(b)	Measures missing side Add 3 sides to find the perimeter	18.6	2	M1 attempt to find the perimeter: 6 + 7 + "5.6" or missing length found: 5.6 ± 0.4 A1 for answer in the range 18.2 – 19.0

28			Region shaded	3	B1 for circle arc of radius 3cm (± 2mm) centre Burford B1 for circle arc of radius 5 cm (± 2mm) centre Hightown B1 for overlapping regions of circle arcs shaded

1MA0_1F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
29*		180÷9×1:180÷9×3:180÷9×5 =20:60:100 Not enough cement (but enough sand and enough gravel) OR 1×15:3×15:5×15 =15:45:75 15+45+75=135 (<180) Not enough cement (to make 180kg of concrete)	No + reason	4	M1 for 180 ÷ (1+3+5) (=20) or 3 multiples of 1: 3: 5 M1 for 1×'20' or 3×'20' or 5×'20' or 20 seen or 60 seen or 100 seen A1 for (Cement=) 20, (Sand=) 60, (Gravel=) 100 C1 ft (provided both Ms awarded) for not enough cement oe **OR** M1 for (1×15 and) 3×15 and 5×15 or 9×15 or sight of the numbers 15, 45, 75 together. M1 for '15' + '45' + '75' A1 for 135 (<180) C1 ft (provided both Ms awarded) for not enough cement oe

12	(a)		120	1	B1 120 ± 2
	(b)	5.5 × 10	55	3	B1 5.5 ± 0.2 M1 “5.5” × 10 A1 55 ± 2

5MB3F_01

21			required region	4	M1 arc radius 5 cm centre *C* M1 bisector of angle *BAD* M1 line 3 cm from *DC* A1 for correct region identified (see overlay)

1MA0_1F

19			correct shaded region	2	B1 for arc of circle, centre oak tree, radius 4cm (± 2mm) B1 for shaded region where the tree can be planted, bounded by sides of garden and arc of circle centre oak tree

5MB3F_01

Original question 20

The full source mark scheme begins on page 67. Use the original paper code, tier and question number shown above.

15	(a)		8	1	B1 for 7.8 – 8.2
	(b)		155	2	M1 for correct bearing clearly identified on diagram A1 for 153 – 157 **SC** B1 for answer of 333 -337 given

PAPER: 5MB3F_01					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
*16			£109.20	4	M1 for 24 × 130 (=3120) M1 for 13 × (730 – 130) (=7800) M1 for “3120” + ”7800” **or** 10920 **or** 109.2 **or** 109.20 C1 (dep on M1) for £109.20 or 10920p with correct money notation clearly identified **NB** candidates can work in pounds or pence

7	(a)		Warsaw	1	B1 accept –8
	(b)		6	1	B1 accept –6
	(c)		–3	1	B1 cao

Question		Working	Answer	Mark	Notes
12	(a)		145	1	B1 accept 143 – 147
	(b)		7 – 9	4	M1 for carrying out a correct measurement of one of the lines eg (AC as) 10.3 – 10.7 or (BC as) 7.8 – 8.2 or (AB as) 6.3 − 6.7 M1 for scaling at any stage (by ×2) M1 for complete process of lengths AC – (AB + BC) ; scaled or unscaled A1 for answer in range 7 – 9

16	(a)		118 - 122	1	B1 for 118 – 122
	(b)		17.5	2	M1 for 2.5 × "7" where "7" is 6.8 – 7.2 A1 for 17 - 18
	(c)		Position marked	2	B1 for school marked due North of church B1 for distance of 6cm

18	(a) (b) (c)		15.2 – 15.6 107 – 111 Region shaded	1 2 3	B1 for 15.2 – 15.6 M1 for correct bearing clearly identified on diagram A1 for 107 – 111 B1 for circle centre f, radius 3.5cm B1 for circle centre b, radius 6cm B1 for correct region shaded
*19		1.2×(550÷50) ×£4.15 =£54.78 4×£2.95×4 + 2×£2.95 =£53.10	Hammer company	5	M1 for 20% of a cost in Nail Company, eg 4.15 × 0.2 (= 0.83) oe M1(dep) for adding their 20% to their cost, eg 4.15 × 1.2 (= 4.98) oe M1 for using special offer in Hammer Company, M1 for 4 × 125 and 2 × 25 in Hammer Company oe C1 for Hammer Company and figures 54.78 [Nail Company] and 53.1(0) [Hammer Company]

PAPER: 5MB3F_01

Original question 18

The full source mark scheme begins on page 87. Use the original paper code, tier and question number shown above.

24			Loci drawn	3	B1 line parallel to *BC* and 3 cm from *BC* B1 arc drawn centre *C* with radius 4 cm B1 ft for shading a region below their horizontal line **and** inside their arc

PAPER: 1MA0_2F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
*25			No supported by working	4	M1 for π × 7 (= 21.9 to 22) or π × 7 × 2.54 (= 55.5 to 56) M1 (dep) for a complete method that could lead to two figures that are comparable e.g. π × 7 × 2.54; π × 7 and 50 ÷ 2.54 A1 for correct comparable figures e.g. 55.5 to 56 (cm); 21.9 to 22 (in) and 19.6 to 19.7 (in) C1 (dep M2) for a correct conclusion based on their comparable figures OR M1 for 50 ÷ π (= 15.9 to 15.92) or 50 ÷ 2.54π (= 6.26 to 6.27) M1 (dep) for a complete method that could lead to two figures that are comparable e.g. (50 ÷ π) ÷ 2.54; 50 ÷ π and 7 × 2.54 A1 for correct comparable figures e.g. 6.26 to 6.27 (in); 15.9 to 15.92 (cm) and 17.7 to 17.8 (cm) C1 (dep M2) for a correct conclusion based on their comparable figures

10	(i)		3 L, 1S or 1 L, 4S (supported)	4	B1 for 3 and 1 or 1 and 4
	(ii)		Diagram		M1 for correct length of 150 cm unit on plan M1 for correct length of 100 cm unit on plan A1 for fully correct plan

17	(a)		057	1	B1 for (0)55 to (0)59
	(b)		65	2	M1 for 6.5±2mm or “6.5” × 10 A1 ft for 65

6			16.75	2	M1 for 6.5 – 6.9 (cm) or "measurement" × 2.5 A1 for 16.25 – 17.25

Question		Working	Answer	Mark	Notes
21			Correct point marked	3	M1 for showing line bearing 300° M1 for showing line bearing 40° A1 cao
*22			Bottle with reason	5	**Cans** M1 for 30×0.28 – 10×0.25 (=5.9) oe **Bottles** M1 0.15 × 1.60 (=£0.24) oe or 0.15 × 6.40 (=0.96) oe M1 (dep) for 1.60 – 0.24 (=£1.36 per bottle, or £5.44 for 4 bottles) oe **Best value** M1 for "1.36" ÷ 2.5 (=0.544 £/litre) and "5.9" ÷ 9.9 (=0.595…£/litre) oe C1 (dep on M1) for 0.544 and 0.595… and bottle identified OR M1 for 2.5 ÷ "1.36 (=1.83… litres/£) and 9.9 ÷"5.9" (=1.67… litres/£) C1 (dep on M1) for 1.67... and 1.83… and bottle identified

PAPER: 1MA0_2F

Question	Working	Answer	Mark	Notes
13 (a)		1270 or 1320	2	M1 for adding the six lengths or an answer of digits 127(0) or digits 132(0) A1 for 1270 or 1320
(b)		32 mm or 3.2 cm	1	B1 for answer in range 30 mm to 34 mm or in range 3 cm to 3.4 cm
(c)		Drawing	3	M1 for at least one right angle M1 for 10cm line or 12.5cm line A1 for fully correct drawing

10	(a)		7	1	B1 for 6.8 – 7.2
	(b)		110	2	M1 for correct angle used or for 68 - 72 or 288 - 292 A1 for 108 – 112
	(c)		Cross in correct position	1	B1 for a possible correct position
*11			Decision (supported)	3	M1 for 258 + 24 × 27.5 + 24 × 8.5 (=1122) oe M1 for 45 × 24 (= 1080) C1 for 1080 and 1122 and "No, £45 is not enough" oe OR M1 for 258 ÷ 24 (= 10.75) M1 for "10.75" + 27.50 + 8.50 C1 for £46.75 and "No, £45 is not enough" oe OR M1 for 27.50 × 24 + 8.50 × 24(=864) oe M1 for ("864" + 258) ÷ 24 C1 for £46.75 and "No, £45 is not enough" oe OR M1 for 45 – 27.50 – 8.50 (= 9) M1 for 258 ÷ 24 (= 10.75) C1 for 9 and 10.75 and "No, £45 is not enough" oe

PAPER: 5MB3F/01

PAPER: 5MB3F/01

Question		Working	Answer	Mark	Notes
17			Correct region shaded	3	M1 for a circle centre *M* or *N* (accept arc of sufficient length to define the region) M1 for circle centre M radius 5 cm **and** circle centre *N* radius 3 cm (accept arc of sufficient length to define the region) A1 for correct region shaded
*18			Decision (supported)	3	M1 for correct first step e.g. 15 ÷ 3 M1 for complete method C1 ft for decision with accurate figures

9			11	3	M1 for measurement of a single side eg 8 (cm), 3 (cm) or method to find total length M1 for use of scale with a length eg 4 (m), 1.5 (cm) A1 answers in the range 10.8 to 11.2 SC B1 for 44

PAPER: 5MB3F_01					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
*10			246 Conclusion Eg yes	4	M1 for 45 × 3 (=135) or 37 × 3 (=111) M1 for 45×3+37×3 (=135+111) A1 cao C1 (dep on at least M1) for conclusion OR M1 for 45 + 37 (=82) M1 for 3 × "82" A1 cao C1 (dep on at least M1) for conclusion

Original question 16

The full source mark scheme begins on page 103. Use the original paper code, tier and question number shown above.

9 (a)		2.75	M1	for accurately measuring the distance between Backley and Cremford as 5.3 cm – 5.7 cm oe or their measurement × 0.5 oe
			A1	for answer in the range 2.65 to 2.85
(b)		130	B1	for answer in the range 128 to 132

12	69.2	B1 P1 P1 P1 A1	for a correct measurement of either length or width, eg 11.5 (cm) **or** 5.8 (cm) for process to find actual dimensions, eg [length] × 200 (= 2300) **or** [width] × 200 (= 1160) (indep) for process to convert to metres [length in cm] ÷ 100 eg "2300" ÷ 100 (= 23) **or** "1160" ÷ 100 (= 11.6) (indep) for process to find the perimeter, eg"23" × 2 + "11.6" × 2 (= 69.2) **or** "11.5" × 2 + "5.8" × 2 (= 34.6) for an answer in the range 67.6 to 70.8	Allow measurements 11.3 to 11.7 cm and 5.6 to 6.0 cm NB: could work in mm [length] in the range 11.0 to 12.0 [width] in the range 5.0 to 6.5 NB: could work in mm This mark can be awarded for the conversion of any amount in cm to m (ie not from an area) calculations could be in cm or in m and could be scaled or unscaled figures SC: award 3 marks for an answer in the range 67.6 to 70.8 using measurements outside the above ranges

Paper: 1MA1/3F

8	263.2	M1 A1	for using the scale eg 14 × 18.8 **or** 14 × 18 **or** for the digits 2632 **or** an answer of 263 cao	

Paper: 1MA1/2F

19	Shaded region	M1	for 180 ÷ 30 (= 6) or 150 ÷ 30 (= 5)	This may be just used in a correct locus drawn on the diagram
		M1	draws an arc of radius "6 cm" centre *A* or draws a line segment parallel to *BC* and "5 cm" away	Ignore any additional arcs or lines drawn
		M1	for an arc of radius "6 cm" centre *A* **and** a line parallel to BC and "5 cm" away with no additional arcs or lines drawn	
		A1	Answer within tolerance with region shaded	Accept shading out leaving the required region unshaded

Paper: 1MA1/2F

27	(i)	Distance in the range 20 to 23	P1	for a process to draw a bearing of 070°, eg. a line drawn 70° from the North line at *P*	Accept a line of any length as long as the intention is clear.
	(ii)	Bearing in the range 317 to 330	P1	for a process to work out the distance *PQ*, eg. 12 × 1.5 (= 18)	
			P1	(dep previous P1) for the process to use the given scale eg. "18" ÷ 4 (= 4.5 cm)	Award P3 for Q shown in the correct place on the diagram. 4.5 scores 2 marks provided there is a link to 12 × 1.5 (= 18)
			A1	(dep P3) for distance in the range 20 to 23	Award no marks if no supportive processes
			A1	(dep P3) for bearing in the range 317 to 330	Award no marks if no supportive processes Award A0A0 if *Q* is not in the correct place

Paper: 1MA1/1F

15	80	M1 M1 A1	for converting to cm for use of scale eg 19.2 ÷ 24 (= 0.8) **or** 1920 ÷ 24 **or** [length] ÷ 24 cao	Can be done at any stage of the problem eg 19.2 × 100 (=1920) or 0.8 × 100 [length] must come from an attempt to change 19.2 metres into cm

Paper: 1MA1/2F

13	(a)	025	B1	for angle in the range 23 to 27	Accept without the initial 0, eg. 25
	(b)	1.25	M1	for measurement of *AB* in the range 4.8 to 5.2 (cm) or 48 to 52 (mm)	Could be just seen on the diagram
			M1	for "5" × 25000 (= 125000) or "50" × 25000 (= 1250000) **or** "5" ÷ 100000 (= 0.00005) or "50" ÷ 1000000 (= 0.00005) **or** 25000 ÷ 100000 (= 0.25) or 25000 ÷ 1000000 (= 0.025)	125000 or 1250000 seen implies M1M1 For the award of this mark, "5" or "50" can be any value in the range 4 to 6 or 40 to 60
			A1	for answer in the range 1.2 to 1.3	

Paper: 1MA1/1F

5	7cm by 4cm rectangle drawn	M1 A1	for a rectangle drawn with one correct dimension **or** 35 ÷ 5 (=7) and 20 ÷ 5 (=4) for a fully correct 7cm by 4cm rectangle drawn	Correct calculations/measurements seen the method mark can be awarded even if the drawing is incorrect or not present Accept any orientation of a correct rectangle

12	(a)	50	M1	[2.5] × 20 (=50)	[2.5] a number in the range 2.3 to 2.7 or identified as the distance from Shelton to Trilby
			A1	for an answer in the range 46 to 54	
	(b)	60	M1	5 × 1200 (=6000) **or** 1200 ÷ 100 (=12) **or** conversion 5 ÷ 100 (=0.05)	
			A1	cao	

Paper: 1MA1/3F

Paper: 1MA1/2F

Question	Answer	Mark	Mark scheme	Additional guidance
16	47	P1 P1 A1	for process to find scale factor eg 62 ÷ 12.4 (= 5) **or** 12.4 ÷ 62 (= 0.2) **or** 9.4 ÷ 12.4 (= 0.758...) **or** 12.4 ÷ 9.4 (= 1.31..) for process to use the scale factor eg “5” × 9.4 **or** 9.4 ÷ “0.2” **or** 62 × “0.758..” **or** 62 ÷ “1.31..” Accept answers in the range 46.5 to 47.7	Note 1:500 is an acceptable scale factor Accept working in mixed units or with inconsistent units eg 620 ÷ 12.4 (= 50) for process marks only

13	(a)	300	M1	for a correct method to measure and convert one line to a distance in metres, eg. (*AB* =) 5 × 150 (= 750 or in the range 720 to 780) or (*BC* =) 4 × 150 (= 600 or in the range 570 to 630) or (*AC* =) 7 × 150 (= 1050 or in the range 1020 to 1080) **or** for 5 + 4 – 7 (=2 or in the range 1.4 to 2.6)	Accept measurements given in mm instead of cm for the first mark. Accept measurements given to a tolerance of ±2mm
			M1	for a complete method, eg. "750" + "600" – "1050" **or** "2" × 150	Where "750", "600", "1050" and "2" have come from their measurements
			A1	for answer in the range 210 to 390	
	(b)	288	B1	for answer in the range 286 to 290	

Paper: 1MA1/3F

14	(a)	81	M1	for 54 × [time] eg 54 × $1\frac{1}{2}$ oe, **or** 54 + 54 ÷ 2 oe	[time] could be $1\frac{1}{2}$ oe or any other time that has been changed from $1\frac{1}{2}$, eg 90 (mins) or 1.30 or 130
			A1	cao	
	(b)	1.5	P1	for use of scale eg 6 × 25 000 (= 150 000) **or** for 25 000 ÷ 100 000 (= 0.25) or 25 000 ÷ 100 (= 250) or 25 000 ÷ 1000 (= 25)	
			P1	for "150 000" ÷ 100 000 (= 1.5) or "150 000" ÷ 100 (= 1500) or "150 000" ÷ 1000 (= 150) **or** for [0.25] × 6 (= 1.5)	[0.25] could be found by dividing 25 000 by 100 (= 250) or dividing 25 000 by 1000 (= 25)
			A1	for 1.5 oe	

Paper: 1MA1/1F

8	96	P1 P1 A1	for process to find total distance before or after using scale, eg 8 + 16 (= 24) **or** "32" + "64" oe for process to use scale, eg 8 × 4 (= 32) or 16 × 4 (= 64) or [PR] × 4 cao	Condone incorrect use of scale if addition seen. where [PR] is 12 or 13 or is clearly stated

Paper: 1MA1/2F

17	Drawing	B1 B1	for drawing point *R* from *T* at a distance of 5.5 cm. for drawing point *R* from *T* on a bearing of 65º	Unless ambiguous point *R* can be indicated by a cross, dot, or interpreted as the end of a line drawn from *T*.

7	(a)	15	B1	cao	
	(b)	4	B1	cao	

Paper: 1MA1/1F

27	327	M1 A1	for 147 + 180 or for 360 – (180 – 147), **or** for drawing a suitable diagram with 147 in the correct position **and** with the bearing of A from B indicated cao	Diagram can be a sketch

6	(a)	32	P1	for process to find length, eg 8×4	
			A1	cao	
	(b)	2.5 cm	P1	for process to find length, eg $10 \div 4$ (= 2.5) oe eg $1 + 1 + 1 \div 2$ (= 2.5)	
			A1	for 2.5 cm oe eg 25 mm, 0.025 m	Must include correct units

Question	Answer	Mark	Mark scheme	Additional guidance
15	3.5	M1	for a correct first step, eg 14 × 25 000 (= 350 000) **or** digits 14 × digits 25 **or** 25 000 ÷ 100 000 (= 0.25) oe **or** 14 ÷ 100 000 (= 0.00014) **or** [distance] ÷ 100 000	[distance] is any calculated value using digits 14 and digits 25
		M1	for a complete method, eg “350 000” ÷ 100 000 oe **or** “0.25” × 14 **or** “0.00014” × 25 000	
		A1	for 3.5 oe	

Paper: 1MA1/1F

Question	Answer	Mark	Mark scheme	Additional guidance
14	8	M1	for unit conversion for 1.6 × 100 000 (= 160 000 (cm)) or 1.6 × 1000 (= 1600 (m)) or for 20 000 ÷ 100 000 (= 0.2) or 20 000 ÷ 100 (= 200) or 20 000 ÷ 1000 (= 20) or "0.00008" × 1000 (= 0.08) or "0.00008" × 100 000 (= 8)	ie conversions to cm or m Do not award if conversion linked to incorrect unit eg 1.6 × 1000 = 1600 cm Answer of 160 000 centimetres scores M1M0A0
		M1	for use of scale [distance] ÷ 20 000 or 1.6 ÷ “0.2” or 16 ÷ (“0.2” × 10) or 1.6 ÷ “20” (= 0.08) or “1600” ÷ “200” (= 8) or 1.6 ÷ 20 000 (=0.00008)	Where [distance] is an attempt at converting km to m or cm, ie figs 16
		A1	cao	

Paper: 1MA1/1F

14	(a)	115	B1	for answer in the range 113 to 117	
	(b)	30	M1	for length of *CD* measured in the range 7.3 to 7.7 **or** for 4 × [length]	[length] is their measurement clearly identified as *CD*
			A1	for answer in the range 29.2 to 30.8	

Paper: 1MA1/3F

PMT

edexcel

Mark Scheme (Results)

March 2013

GCSE Mathematics (Linear) 1MA0
Foundation (Calculator) Paper 2F

PMT

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the world's leading learning company. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk for our BTEC qualifications.
Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

If you have any subject specific questions about this specification that require the help of a subject specialist, you can speak directly to the subject team at Pearson.
Their contact details can be found on this link: www.edexcel.com/teachingservices.

You can also use our online Ask the Expert service at www.edexcel.com/ask. You will need an Edexcel username and password to access this service.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere
Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

March 2013
Publications Code UG035042
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2013

NOTES ON MARKING PRINCIPLES

1 All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.

2 Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.

3 All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.

4 Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.

5 Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

6 Mark schemes will indicate within the table where, and which strands of QWC, are being assessed. The strands are as follows:

i) *ensure that text is legible and that spelling, punctuation and grammar are accurate so that meaning is clear*
Comprehension and meaning is clear by using correct notation and labeling conventions.

ii) *select and use a form and style of writing appropriate to purpose and to complex subject matter*
Reasoning, explanation or argument is correct and appropriately structured to convey mathematical reasoning.

iii) *organise information clearly and coherently, using specialist vocabulary when appropriate*.
The mathematical methods and processes used are coherently and clearly organised and the appropriate mathematical vocabulary used.

7 With working

If there is a wrong answer indicated on the answer line always check the working in the body of the script (and on any diagrams), and award any marks appropriate from the mark scheme.
If working is crossed out and still legible, then it should be given any appropriate marks, as long as it has not been replaced by alternative work.
If it is clear from the working that the "correct" answer has been obtained from incorrect working, award 0 marks. Send the response to review, and discuss each of these situations with your Team Leader.
If there is no answer on the answer line then check the working for an obvious answer.
Any case of suspected misread loses A (and B) marks on that part, but can gain the M marks. Discuss each of these situations with your Team Leader.
If there is a choice of methods shown, then no marks should be awarded, unless the answer on the answer line makes clear the method that has been used.

8 Follow through marks

Follow through marks which involve a single stage calculation can be awarded without working since you can check the answer yourself, but if ambiguous do not award.
Follow through marks which involve more than one stage of calculation can only be awarded on sight of the relevant working, even if it appears obvious that there is only one way you could get the answer given.

9 Ignoring subsequent work

It is appropriate to ignore subsequent work when the additional work does not change the answer in a way that is inappropriate for the question: e.g. incorrect cancelling of a fraction that would otherwise be correct
It is not appropriate to ignore subsequent work when the additional work essentially makes the answer incorrect e.g. algebra.
Transcription errors occur when candidates present a correct answer in working, and write it incorrectly on the answer line; mark the correct answer.

PMT

10 Probability

Probability answers must be given a fractions, percentages or decimals. If a candidate gives a decimal equivalent to a probability, this should be written to at least 2 decimal places (unless tenths).
Incorrect notation should lose the accuracy marks, but be awarded any implied method marks.
If a probability answer is given on the answer line using both incorrect and correct notation, award the marks.
If a probability fraction is given then cancelled incorrectly, ignore the incorrectly cancelled answer.

11 Linear equations

Full marks can be gained if the solution alone is given on the answer line, or otherwise unambiguously indicated in working (without contradiction elsewhere). Where the correct solution only is shown substituted, but not identified as the solution, the accuracy mark is lost but any method marks can be awarded.

12 Parts of questions

Unless allowed by the mark scheme, the marks allocated to one part of the question CANNOT be awarded in another.

13 Range of answers

Unless otherwise stated, when an answer is given as a range (e.g 3.5 – 4.2) then this is inclusive of the end points (e.g 3.5, 4.2) and includes all numbers within the range (e.g 4, 4.1)

Guidance on the use of codes within this mark scheme
M1 – method mark A1 – accuracy mark B1 – Working mark C1 – communication mark QWC – quality of written communication oe – or equivalent cao – correct answer only ft – follow through sc – special case dep – dependent (on a previous mark or conclusion) indep – independent isw – ignore subsequent working

PMT

1MA0_2F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
1	(a)		7025	1	B1 cao
	(b)		Nine thousand four hundred (and) fifty	1	B1 for Nine thousand four hundred (and) fifty Accept 'nine thousand' written as '9 thousand', 'four hundred' written as '4 hundred' and 'fifty' written as '5 tens' or any mixture of these. Do not penalise poor spelling.
	(c)		29	1	B1 for 29 or twenty nine or 29.0
	(d)		7000	1	B1 for 7000 or 7 thousand or seven thousand
2	(a)		8, 10	1	B1 cao
	(b)		24	1	B1 cao
	(c)		reason	1	B1 for a valid reason that demonstrates the understanding that the number of triangles is twice the pattern number
3	(a)		240	1	B1 for 240
	(b)		arrow at 125°C	1	B1 for arrow (or line) pointing within a range of 122.5 to 127.5 (ie nearer to 125 than either 120 or 130) Use professional judgement.
	(c)		6.05 (pm)	1	B1 for 6.05 (pm) oe

1MA0_2F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
4	(a)		Thursday	1	B1 cao
	(b)		7	1	B1 cao
	*(c)		Nick	3	M1 for the intention to add Dave's 4 times or Nick's 4 times A1 for 58 and 64 C1 (dep on M1 and two totals) for clearly stating Nick as their answer or ft from their two totals OR M1 for the intention to find the difference between the times on each of the 4 days A1 for 6 or −6 C1 (dep on M1 and a net difference) for clearly stating Nick as their answer or ft from their difference [SC: B1 for "Nick spent 6 minutes more than Dave on his phone" if M0 scored.]
5			9 squares shaded	1	B1 for any 9 squares shaded oe
6			66	2	M1 for a correct method to find the number of people on the bus if the 15 get off first. (= 57) A1 cao OR M1 for a correct method to find the number of people on the bus if the 9 get on first. (= 81) A1 cao OR M1 for a correct method to find the net change in the number of people on the bus (= 6 or −6) A1 cao

1MA0_2F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
7		20 − 6.65 13.35 ÷ 3	4.45	3	M1 for a correct method to find the amount shared by B, R and T M1 (dep) for a correct method of dividing this amount by 3 A1 cao [SC: B1 for an answer of 17.78 (20 – 6.65 ÷ 3), if M0 scored, with or without working]
8	(a)		3, 6, 9, 3	3	B3 for a table showing all 4 correct frequencies in the correct place. (condone the absence of or any incorrect tallies) [(B2 for 2 or 3 correct tallies or 2 or 3 correct frequencies even in the wrong columns) (B1 for 1 correct tally or 1 correct frequency even in the wrong column)] [SC: B2 for 3/21 and 6/21 and 9/21 and 3/21 shown in the frequency column]
	(b)		3	1	B1 for 3 or ft table in (a)
9			Circle radius 5 cm drawn	1	B1 for a circle of radius 5 cm drawn (condone an alternative centre)

1MA0_2F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
10	(i)		5,15 or 5,125 or 15,125 or 30,50 or 30,60 or 30,90 or 30,100 or 50,60 or 50,90 or 50,100 or 60,90 or 60,100 or 90,100	4	B1 for 2 numbers, from the list, whose sum is an even number.
	(ii)		60 or 100		B1 for 60 or 100 or both
	(iii)		5 or 15		B1 for 5 or 15 or both
	(iv)		125		B1 cao
11		9.39 × 10 24.30 × 3 + 9.39 93.90 − 82.29	£11.61	5	M1 for a correct method to find the most expensive way to buy the 10 cartridges (= 93.90) M1 for a correct method to find the least expensive way to buy the 10 cartridges (= 82.29) M1 (dep on M1 scored) for a correct method to find the difference between their least and their most expensive way, provided that both totals are for the cost of exactly 10 cartridges A1 for 11.61 B1 (indep) for correct units

PMT

1MA0_2F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
12	(a)(i)		B and D	2	B1 cao
	(ii)		G and E		B1 for G and E (allow B and D if not in (i))
	(b)	3 + 3 + 3 + 2 + 2 +1 + 1 + 1	16	1	B1 cao
13	(a)		6am	1	B1 for 6am (accept −4) Do not accept 6 alone.
	(b)		3	1	B1 for 3 (allow − 3)
	(c)	−1−5	−6	2	M1 for −1 − 5 or intention to subtract 5 from -1 (may be shown on a diagram) A1 cao

1MA0_2F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
*14			Yes + supporting work	4	M1 for adding the weights of all the ingredients (= 96) M1 (dep) for '96' × 8 A1 cao for 768 C1 (dep on M2), ft for a correct conclusion (yes or no) from a comparison of 750 (pots) with their '768' pots; units must be quoted [SC: B1 for 768 seen without working if M0M0 scored] **OR** M1 for adding the weights of all the ingredients (= 96) M1 for 750 ÷ 8 A1 cao for 93.75 C1(dep on M2), ft for a correct conclusion (yes or no) from a comparison of their weight of ingredients in one tank full '93.75' kg with '96' kg; units must be quoted [SC: B1 for 93.75 seen without working if M0M0 scored]] **OR** M1 for adding the weights of all the ingredients (= 96) M1 (dep) for 750 ÷ '96' A1 cao for 7.8125 C1(dep on M2), ft for a correct conclusion (yes or no) from a comparison of their number of pots, '7.8125' pots with 8 (pots); units must be quoted [SC: B1 for 7.8125 seen without working if M0M0 scored]]
15			85 29 54 **168** **93** 31 47 171 13 5 **9** **27** 191 **65** **110** 366	3	B3 for fully correct table (B2 for 3 or 4 or 5 correct entries, B1 for 1 or 2 correct entries)

1MA0_1F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
16	(a)(i)		5	3	B1 cao
	(ii)		8		B1 cao
	(iii)		5		B1 cao
	(b)		correct sketch	2	B2 for fully correct sketch [B1 for a square (or rectangle) drawn with 2 or 3 connecting triangles on the outside of the square]
17	(a)(i)		12.978(61279…)	2	B1 for 12.978(…..)
	(ii)		13		B1 for 13 or ft from a(i) [Note: An answer of 13.0 gets B0]
	(b)		100000	1	B1 cao
18	(a)	$2 \times 8 = 16$ $16 + 7$	23	2	M1 for correct substitution of 8 into the expression $2f$ A1 cao
	(b)	$3 \times -2 + 5 \times 4$	14	2	M1 for correct substitution into the formula A1 cao

1MA0_2F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
19	(a)		$\frac{40}{360}$	1	B1 for $\frac{40}{360}$ oe (ignore any incorrect simplification) Accept 0.11…. if no fraction shown
	(b)		4	3	M1 for a correct method to find the angle of the 'weeding' sector If measured, accept an angle between 138 and 142 inc. M1 (dep) for a correct method to find the number of degrees per hour (= 20) or the number of hours ($\frac{1}{20}$ (3 mins) per degree A1 cao for 4
20	(a)		2.5	2	M1 for 10 (cm) or "10" ÷ 4 A1 for 2.45 – 2.55
	(b)		A marked on diagram	2	M1 for a point marked (or line drawn) on a bearing of 038° from either point B or point W, OR for a point marked (or arc drawn) 6 cm from B A1 for the position of Avebury marked (accept without label if not ambiguous)

PMT

1MA0_2F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
21	(a)		30	1	B1 for 30 minutes oe
	(b)		20	1	B1 cao
	(c)		graph completed	2	B1 for horizontal line from (5, 20) to (5.30, 20) B1 for a single straight line with the correct gradient from '(5.30, 20)' to the time axis
22	(a)		$x = 3$ drawn	1	B1 for $x = 3$ drawn [Note: each line drawn must be a single line segment satisfying $x = 3$]
	(b)		$y = x$ drawn	1	B1 for $y = x$ drawn [Note: each line drawn must be a single line segment satisfying $y = x$]
	(c)	Gradient = $\frac{3-0}{0--2}$	1.5	2	M1 for a method to find the gradient of the given line A1 for 1.5 oe
23	(a)		n^8	1	B1 for n^8 (accept n^{5+3})
	(b)		n^5	1	B1 for n^5 (accept n^{7-2})

1MA0_2F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
24	(a)	15 ÷ 6	2.5	2	M1 for 15 ÷ 6 oe A1 for 2.5 or $2\frac{1}{2}$
	*(b)		Yes + evidence	2	M1 for a correct method to change 15 miles into kilometres C1(dep on M1) for 24 km **and** statement with correct conclusion [SC: B1 for "Yes" oe and 24 km shown if M0 scored] **OR** M1 for a correct method to change 20 kilometres into miles C1(dep on M1) for 12.5 miles **and** statement with correct conclusion [SC: B1 for "Yes" oe and 12.5 miles shown if M0 scored]

1MA0_2F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
25			414.96	5	M1 for a correct method to work out the amount of oil required to fill the tank M1 for a correct method to find the cost of oil required before the discount M1 for a correct method of finding 5% of their calculated cost M1 (dep on previous M1) for a correct method to find the discounted cost A1 for correct answer of 414.96 or 41496p **OR** M1 for a correct method of finding 5% of the cost of 1 litre of oil M1 (dep on previous M1) for a correct method to find the discounted cost of 1 litre of oil M1 for a correct method to work out the amount of oil required to fill the tank M1 for a correct method to find the discounted cost of the oil required A1 for correct answer of 414.96 or 41496p **OR** M1 for a correct method to work out the amount of oil required to fill the tank M1 for a correct method of finding 5% of their calculated amount of oil M1 (dep on previous M1) for a correct method to find the reduced amount of oil M1 for a correct method to find the cost of the reduced amount of oil A1 for correct answer of 414.96 or 41496p

PMT

1MA0_2F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
26	(a)	$1 - 0.2 - 0.1$ $0.7 \div 2$	0.35	3	M1 for correctly using total probability 1 or 100% if percentages used M1 (dep) for complete correct method to complete the solution A1 for 0.35 or 35% or $\frac{35}{100}$ oe
	(b)	0.1×200	20	2	M1 for 0.1×200 A1 cao [SC: B1 for an answer of $\frac{20}{200}$ if M0 scored]
27	(a)		$3x + 12$	1	B1 for $3x + 12$ or $12 + 3x$
	(b)		$x^3 + 2x$	2	M1 for the intention to multiply both terms in the bracket by x A1 for $x^3 + 2x$ OR B2 for $x^3 + 2x$ [B1 for x^3 or $2x$ seen]
	(c)		$x(x - 6)$	1	B1 for $x(x - 6)$ or $(x - 6)x$

PMT

1MA0_2F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
28			1180	3	M1 for a correct method to find the area of the cross section M1 (dep) for a complete correct method for the volume of the prism A1 cao OR M1 for a correct method to find the volume of one cuboid M1 (dep) for a complete correct method for the volume of the prism A1 cao

PMT

Further copies of this publication are available from
Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467
Fax 01623 450481
Email publication.orders@edexcel.com
Order Code UG035042 March 2013

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit our website
www.edexcel.com

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828
with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE

Ofqual
Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru
Welsh Assembly Government
Rewarding Learning

PMT

edexcel

Mark Scheme (Results)

November 2014

Pearson Edexcel GCSE
In Mathematics A (1MA0)
Foundation (Non-Calculator) Paper 1F

PMT

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

November 2014
Publications Code UG040304
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2014

PMT

NOTES ON MARKING PRINCIPLES

1 All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.

2 Mark schemes should be applied positively.

3 All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e if the answer matches the mark scheme. Note that in some cases a correct answer alone will not score marks unless supported by working; these situations are made clear in the mark scheme. Examiners should be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.

4 Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.

5 Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

6 Mark schemes will award marks for the quality of written communication (QWC).
The strands are as follows:

i) *ensure that text is legible and that spelling, punctuation and grammar are accurate so that meaning is clear*
Comprehension and meaning is clear by using correct notation and labelling conventions.

ii*) select and use a form and style of writing appropriate to purpose and to complex subject matter*
Reasoning, explanation or argument is correct and appropriately structured to convey mathematical reasoning.

iii) *organise information clearly and coherently, using specialist vocabulary when appropriate*.
The mathematical methods and processes used are coherently and clearly organised and the appropriate mathematical vocabulary used.

With working

If there is a wrong answer indicated on the answer line always check the working in the body of the script (and on any diagrams), and award any marks appropriate from the mark scheme.
If working is crossed out and still legible, then it should be given any appropriate marks, as long as it has not been replaced by alternative work.
If it is clear from the working that the "correct" answer has been obtained from incorrect working, award 0 marks. Send the response to review, and discuss each of these situations with your Team Leader.
If there is no answer on the answer line then check the working for an obvious answer.
Partial answers shown (usually indicated in the ms by brackets) can be awarded the method mark associated with it (implied).
Any case of suspected misread loses A (and B) marks on that part, but can gain the M marks; transcription errors may also gain some credit. Send any such responses to review for the Team Leader to consider.
If there is a choice of methods shown, then no marks should be awarded, unless the answer on the answer line makes clear the method that has been used.

8 Follow through marks

Follow through marks which involve a single stage calculation can be awarded without working since you can check the answer yourself, but if ambiguous do not award.
Follow through marks which involve more than one stage of calculation can only be awarded on sight of the relevant working, even if it appears obvious that there is only one way you could get the answer given.

9 Ignoring subsequent work

It is appropriate to ignore subsequent work when the additional work does not change the answer in a way that is inappropriate for the question: e.g. incorrect cancelling of a fraction that would otherwise be correct
It is not appropriate to ignore subsequent work when the additional work essentially makes the answer incorrect e.g. algebra.

10 Probability

Probability answers must be given a fractions, percentages or decimals. If a candidate gives a decimal equivalent to a probability, this should be written to at least 2 decimal places (unless tenths).
Incorrect notation should lose the accuracy marks, but be awarded any implied method marks.
If a probability answer is given on the answer line using both incorrect and correct notation, award the marks.
If a probability fraction is given then cancelled incorrectly, ignore the incorrectly cancelled answer.

Linear equations

Full marks can be gained if the solution alone is given on the answer line, or otherwise unambiguously indicated in working (without contradiction elsewhere). Where the correct solution only is shown substituted, but not identified as the solution, the accuracy mark is lost but any method marks can be awarded (embedded answers).

12 Parts of questions

Unless allowed by the mark scheme, the marks allocated to one part of the question CANNOT be awarded in another.

13 Range of answers

Unless otherwise stated, when an answer is given as a range (e.g 3.5 – 4.2) then this is inclusive of the end points (e.g 3.5, 4.2) and includes all numbers within the range (e.g 4, 4.1)

14 The detailed notes in the mark scheme, and in practice/training material for examiners, should be taken as precedents over the above notes.

Guidance on the use of codes within this mark scheme
M1 – method mark for appropriate method in the context of the question A1 – accuracy mark B1 – Working mark C1 – communication mark QWC – quality of written communication oe – or equivalent cao – correct answer only ft – follow through sc – special case dep – dependent (on a previous mark or conclusion) indep – independent isw – ignore subsequent working

PAPER: 1MA0_1F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
1	(a)		Lhotse	1	B1 cao
	(b)		8200	1	B1 cao
	(c)		Seven thousand four hundred and twenty-eight	1	B1 for any unambiguous answer
	(d)		8051	1	B1 cao
2	(a)		4,8,3,3,2	2	M1 for at least 2 tallies or 2 frequencies correct A1 for 5 correct frequencies
	(b)		correct graph	3	M1 for bar chart or other suitable chart with at least 2 correct frequencies drawn for their scale (ft from (a)) M1 for all bars labelled and vertical axis correctly scaled A1 for accurately representing their data, with all labels, ft from (a)
3	(a)		56 000	1	B1 cao
	(b)		276	1	B1 cao
	(c)		6	1	B1 cao
	(d)		29	1	B1 cao
	(e)		13	1	B1 cao

PMT

PAPER: 1MA0_1F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
4			3	3	M1 for attempt to find number of bags needed eg 254 ÷ 20 oe (= 12.7) **or**12 **or** 13 M1 (dep) for "254 ÷ 20" ÷ 5 oe (= 2.4) A1 cao **OR** M1 for 5 × 20 (=100) M1 (dep) for intention to find how many "100" in 254 (= 2.54) A1 cao
5	(a)		B or C	1	B1 for B **or** C **or** B and C
	(b)		G, E	2	B1 for G; B1 for E
	(c)		Hexagon	1	B1 cao
	(d)		Parallelogram	1	B1 cao
6	(a)		$3m$	1	B1 cao
	(b)		$7e$	1	B1 cao
	(c)		$15g$	1	B1 cao
7	(a)		31	2	M1 for 3 × 5 + 2 × 8 **or** 15 and 16 A1 cao
	(b)		$6x + 8y$	2	M1 for $6x$ **or** $8y$ A1 for $6x + 8y$ oe as final answer

PAPER: 1MA0_1F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
*8		Tables-R-Us 120 + (120 + 2 × 40) = 320 Fred's Furniture 120 + (32 × 6) = 312 Tables 'n Chairs 120 + (3 × 70) = 330	Fred's Furniture with working	4	M1 for correct method to find total cost of chairs (and table) for at least one shop M1 for correct method to find total cost of chairs (and table) for at least two shops A1 for 3 comparable totals (eg. chairs £200, £192, £210 **or** table and chairs £320, £312, £330) C1 (dep on M1) ft for correct statement with shop name from comparable figures
9			48	2	M1 for method to find 15% of 320 A1 cao
10	(a)		5	1	B1 cao
	(b)		evens	1	B1 cao
	(c)		$\frac{2}{6}$ oe	2	M1 for $\frac{a}{6}$ where $a < 6$ **or** $\frac{2}{b}$ where $b > 2$ A1 for $\frac{2}{6}$ oe
11	*(a)		No with working	2	M1 for 19.5 + 22.8 (= 42.3) **or** 40 − 19.5 − 22.8 (= −2.3) **or** 22 + 19 (= 41) C1 for statement with No and 42.3 **or** ±2.3 **or** 41 seen
	(b)		12 40	3	M1 for correct start eg. addition of two times **or** subtraction of one time from 1430 M1 for a complete method A1 for 12 40 (pm)

PAPER: 1MA0_1F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
12			126 or 176	4	M1 for correct unit conversion of 2 m or 3 m or 20 cm M1 for method to find number in width or number in length **or** 14 or 9 or 16 or 11 M1 (dep on M1) for "number in length" × "number in width" eg 14 × 9 eg 16 × 11 A1 for 126 or 176
*13			Amsterdam with figures	3	B1 for a correct conversion from miles to km or km to miles eg 8 km = 5 miles eg 28 miles = 44 km M1 for a correct method to convert 280 miles to km or 500 km to miles **or** 420 – 460 (km) **or** 300 − 320 (miles) C1 (dep on M1) for statement with correct conclusion and correct conversions (420 – 460 km or 300 – 320 miles)
14			correct shape	2	M1 for at least 2 correctly enlarged sides A1 for correct shape **SC:** B1 for a fully correct enlargement scale factor 2 or 4
15	(a)		18	1	B1 cao
	(b)		56	1	B1 cao
	(c)		33	2	M1 for 23 and 56 identified A1 cao

PAPER: 1MA0_1F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
*16			No with reason	3	M1 for 17, 20 .**or** + 3 **or** $3n + 2$ M1 for method to show that 34 is not in the sequence eg continue sequence to at least 32 eg attempt to solve $3n + 2 = 34$ C1 (dep on M2) for statement with conclusion eg No with 32, 35 shown eg $n = 32 \div 3$ which is not a whole number
17			700 cm^3	3	M1 for $20 \times 5 \times 7$ A1 for 700 B1 (indep) for cm^3
18			4	3	B1 for 11.8 − 12.2 (cm) or 1180 − 1220 (km) M1 for "12" × 100 ÷ 300 oe A1 for 3.9 – 4.1 from correct figures or ft from "12" × 100 ÷ 300 oe
19			1340	4	M1 for 500 × 4 (= 2000) M1 for 960 – 300 (= 660) or "2000" + 300 (= 2300) or "2000" – 960 (= 1040) M1 (dep on M2) for a fully correct method eg "2000" – "660" or "2300" – "960" or "1040" + 300 A1 cao

PMT

PAPER: 1MA0_1F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
20	(a)		$\frac{5}{12}$	2	M1 for $\frac{150}{360}$ or equivalent fraction A1 cao
	(b)		42	2	M1 for 60 ÷ 12 (= 5) **or** 210 ÷ 60 (= 3.5) oe A1 cao
	(c)		not enough information	1	B1 for 'not enough information' ticked **and** appropriate explanation given
21			25	4	M1 for 600 ÷ 4 (= 150) M1 for 4500 ÷ "150" (= 30) M1 for 750 ÷ "30" A1 for 25 with supporting working **OR** M1 for 4500 ÷ 750 (= 6) M1 for 600 ÷ 4 (= 150) or 600 ÷ "6" (= 100) M1 for "150" ÷ "6" or "100" ÷ 4 A1 for 25 with supporting working **OR** M1 for 4500 ÷ 750 (= 6) or 750 ÷ 4500 (= $\frac{1}{6}$) M1 for $\frac{1}{4}\times"\frac{1}{6}"$ $\left(=\frac{1}{24}\right)$ M1 for $"\frac{1}{24}"\times 600$ A1 for 25 with supporting working

PAPER: 1MA0_1F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
*22			130 + correct reasons	4	M1 for angle *BFG* = 65 (may be seen on diagram) M1 (dep) for correct method to calculate *x* eg (*x* =) 65 + 65 (= 130) **or** (*x* =) 180 − (180 − 2 × 65) (= 130) C2 for *x* =130 **and** full appropriate reasons related to method shown (C1 (dep on M1) for any one appropriate reason related to method shown) eg alternate angles are equal ; base angles in an isosceles triangle are equal; angles in a triangle add up to 180°; angles on a straight line add up to 180°; exterior angle of triangle = sum of two interior opposite angles co-interior angles (allied angles) add up to 180°
23	(a)		2 reasons	2	B2 for two different reasons (B1 for 1 reason) eg No units (of distance) eg Overlapping intervals (2 and/or 3 in two boxes) eg Missing box (no box for more than 6 (km/miles) or 'other' or 4.5 (km/miles))
	(b)		Question	2	B1 for a suitable question which includes a time frame (time frame could appear with response boxes) B1 for at least 3relevant non-overlapping **and** exhaustive response boxes [Do not allow inequalities in response boxes]

PMT

PAPER: 1MA0_1F					
Question		**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
24	(a)		$e(3e + 5)$	1	B1 for $e(3e + 5)$
	(b)		4	3	M1 for intention to expand brackets eg $7k - 21$ **or** division of all terms on RHS by 7 as a first step M1 for correct method to isolate terms in k in an equation A1 cao
	(c)		$a = 2f - 1$	2	M1 for a correct first step eg intention to multiply both sides by 2 A1 cao
25			$2 \times 2 \times 3 \times 3 \times 5$	3	M1 for continual prime factorisation (at least two consecutive steps correct) **or** at least two stages of a factor tree correct M1 for a fully correct factor tree **or** list 2, 2, 3, 3, 5 A1 for $2 \times 2 \times 3 \times 3 \times 5$ or $2^2 \times 3^2 \times 5$
26			9	4	M1 for method to find the area of one rectangle eg 15×8 (=120) or 15×11 (=165) M1 (dep) for subtraction from/by given area eg 138 − "120" (=18) or "165" – 138 (=27) M1 for final step from complete method shown eg 15 − "18"÷ 3 or for "27" ÷ 3 A1 cao **OR** M1 for a correct expression for the area of one rectangle eg $(8 + 3) \times (15 - x)$ or $8 \times x$ M1 (dep) for a correct equation eg $(8 + 3) \times (15 - x) + 8 \times x = 138$ M1 for correct method to isolate x eg $3x = 27$ A1 cao

PMT

Modifications to the mark scheme for Modified Large Print (MLP) papers.

Only mark scheme amendments are shown where the enlargement or modification of the paper requires a change in the mark scheme.

The following tolerances should be accepted on marking MLP papers, unless otherwise stated below:
Angles: ±5°
Measurements of length: ±5 mm

PAPER: 1MA0_1F			
Question		**Modification**	**Notes**
1		Take out Jannu	
2	(b)	Table layout modified 2 cm grid provided with 14 squares across and 12 squares up Space on left and at the bottom for labeling	
5		Shapes H and I removed	
7		*a* changed to *e* *c* changed to *f*	
10		Spinner straightened up,● at centre to represent spike	
13		2 cm grid provided	
14		1½ cm grid provided Enlargement given Question reversed – 'Describe fully the transformation that maps Shape A onto Shape B	

PMT

PAPER: 1MA0_1F			
Question		**Modification**	**Notes**
15		Horizontal lines inserted to separate rows	
17		Model provided	

PMT

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828
with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE



Mark Scheme (Results)

Summer 2017

Pearson Edexcel GCSE (9 – 1)
In Mathematics (1MA1)
Foundation (Calculator) Paper 2F



Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2017
Publications Code 1MA1_2F_1706_MS
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2017

General marking guidance

These notes offer general guidance, but the specific notes for examiners appertaining to individual questions take precedence.

1 All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the last candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the first.

Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded; exemplification/indicative content will not be exhaustive. When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the response should be sent to review.

2 All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded; mark schemes should be applied positively. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme. If there is a wrong answer (or no answer) indicated on the answer line always check the working in the body of the script (and on any diagrams), and award any marks appropriate from the mark scheme.

Questions where working is not required: In general, the correct answer should be given full marks.
Questions that specifically require working: In general, candidates who do not show working on this type of question will get no marks – full details will be given in the mark scheme for each individual question.

3 **Crossed out work**
This should be marked **unless** the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

4 **Choice of method**
If there is a choice of methods shown, mark the method that leads to the answer given on the answer line.

If no answer appears on the answer line, mark both methods **then award the lower number of marks.**

5 **Incorrect method**
If it is clear from the working that the "correct" answer has been obtained from incorrect working, award 0 marks. Send the response to review for your Team Leader to check.

6 Follow through marks

Follow through marks which involve a single stage calculation can be awarded without working as you can check the answer, but if ambiguous do not award.

Follow through marks which involve more than one stage of calculation can only be awarded on sight of the relevant working, even if it appears obvious that there is only one way you could get the answer given.

7 Ignoring subsequent work

It is appropriate to ignore subsequent work when the additional work does not change the answer in a way that is inappropriate for the question or its context. (e.g.. an incorrectly cancelled fraction when the unsimplified fraction would gain full marks).

It is not appropriate to ignore subsequent work when the additional work essentially makes the answer incorrect (e.g.. incorrect algebraic simplification).

8 Probability

Probability answers must be given as a fraction, percentage or decimal. If a candidate gives a decimal equivalent to a probability, this should be written to at least 2 decimal places (unless tenths).

Incorrect notation should lose the accuracy marks, but be awarded any implied method marks.

If a probability fraction is given then cancelled incorrectly, ignore the incorrectly cancelled answer.

9 Linear equations

Unless indicated otherwise in the mark scheme, full marks can be gained if the solution alone is given on the answer line, or otherwise unambiguously identified in working (without contradiction elsewhere). Where the correct solution only is shown substituted, but not identified as the solution, the accuracy mark is lost but any method marks can be awarded (embedded answers).

10 Range of answers

Unless otherwise stated, when an answer is given as a range (e.g. 3.5 – 4.2) then this is inclusive of the end points (e.g. 3.5, 4.2) and all numbers within the range.

Guidance on the use of abbreviations within this mark scheme	
M	method mark awarded for a correct method or partial method
P	process mark awarded for a correct process as part of a problem solving question
A	accuracy mark (awarded after a correct method or process; if no method or process is seen then full marks for the question are implied but see individual mark schemes for more details)
C	communication mark
B	unconditional accuracy mark (no method needed)
oe	or equivalent
cao	correct answer only
ft	follow through (when appropriate as per mark scheme)
sc	special case
dep	dependent (on a previous mark)
indep	independent
awrt	answer which rounds to
isw	ignore subsequent working

Paper 1MA1: 2F				
Question	**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
1 (a)		$3p$	B1	cao
(b)		$2m^3$	B1	cao
(c)		$10 - 4c + 6d$	M1	for $-4c$ or $6d$ (accept $+-4c$)
			A1	for $10 - 4c + 6d$
2		60	B1	cao
3 (a)		Walk	B1	cao
(b)		7 on chart	B1	for bar of height 7 drawn for girls walking
(c)		4	B1	cao
(d)		96	M1	for method to find number of Year 6 students in the survey e.g. $5 + 9 + 6 + 4 + 9 + 7 + 4 + 1 + 2 + 1$ (= 48) or $14 + 10 + 16 + 5 + 3$ (= 48)
			A1	for 96 or ft from (b), eg 82 if no bar in (b)
4		$\frac{11}{30}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{7}{15}, \frac{1}{2}$	M1	converts fractions to a common form, e.g. fractions with a denominator of 30, decimals or percentages, at least two conversions correct or any 3 fractions in correct order
			A1	correct order

Paper 1MA1: 2F				
Question	**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
5 (a)		Monday wrong	C1	for seeing difference in tally marks and frequency for Monday
(b)		Comment	C1	for suitable comment, eg extra picture for Tuesday needed or explains that 0.5 of a CD is not possible
6		268.20	P1	for a process to work out the value of the £1 coins, eg. 495 ÷ 3 (= 165) or 495 × 0.33...or of the 50p coins, eg. 124 ÷ 2 (= 62)
			P1	for process to find the number of 20p coins, eg. (495 – 124 – (“165”) (= 206)
			P1	for complete process to find total value using consistent units., eg. (“165”) + (124 ÷ 2) + (“206” × 0.2) or 165 + 62 + 41.2
			A1	cao (accept 268.2)
7		0.985	B1	oe
8 (a)		25	B1	for 25 (accept 5^2)
(b)		24	B1	cao
(c)		23, 29	B1	for 23 and 29 and no extras
9		54	M1	for method to form equation, eg $90 + 2x + 3x = 360$ or for $360 – 90$ (= 270)
			M1	for $5x = 360 – 90$ or for $2x + 3x = 360 – 90$ or for $2x = 108$ or for $3x = 162$ or for $270 \div 5$
			A1	cao

Paper 1MA1: 2F				
Question	**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
10		Letters2send (supported)	P1	for the start of a process to find comparable costs at either shop, e.g. 150 ÷ 25 (= 6) or 150 ÷ 30 (= 5), 150 ÷ 10 (= 15), 2.10 ÷ 15 = (=0.14)
			P1	for process to find cost from Letters2send, e.g. (150 ÷ 25) × 3.49 (= 20.94)
			P1	for process to find cost at Stationery World, e.g. (150 ÷ 30) × 2 × 2.10 (= 21)
			C1	for correct conclusion with correct values from each shop (20.94 and 21)
				OR
			P1	for the start of a process to find comparable costs, eg 3.49 ÷ 25 (= 0.1396), 2.10 ÷ 10 (= 0.21), 25 ÷ 3.49 = (7.1...), 2.10 ÷ 15 = (= 0.14)
			P1	for process to take into account the offer at Stationery World, eg buy 30 envelopes pay for 20,
			P1	for complete process to find values that can be used for comparison, eg 30 × 0.13(96) and 2 × 2.10 (= 4.2(0))
			C1	for correct conclusion with correct values from each shop (4.1(88) and 4.2(0))

Paper 1MA1: 2F				
Question	**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
11 (a)		29	B1	answer in the range 29 to 30
(b)		186 to 195	M1	for changing 6ft 3 inches to inches e.g. $6 \times 12 + 3$ (= 75) or changing 1ft to 30 cm
			M1	for a method to convert to cm, e.g. 25 →63 then × 3, $6 \times 30 + \frac{1}{4} \times 30$
			A1	for answer in the range 186 to 195 or ft from correct use of graph
12		0.0733(03...)	M1 A1	for correct numerator (3.4496.....) or correct denominator (47.0596) or 0.073 for 0.0733(03.....)
13 (a)		Rotation	B2	for a fully correct rotation at (–4,–1), (–3,–1), (–4,–4), (–1,–2)
			[B1	for the quadrilateral in correct orientation and size or rotated 90° anticlockwise about the origin]
(b)		Reflection in the *y*-axis	B1	for reflection
			B1	for *y*-axis (or *x* =0)
				[A combination of transformations scores 0 marks]

Paper 1MA1: 2F				
Question	**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
14 (a)		$5(1 - 2m)$	B1	cao
(b)		$2ab(a + 3b)$	M1	for $2a(ab + 3b^2)$ or $2b(a^2 + 3ab)$ or $ab(2a + 6b)$ or $2ab$(2 term expression with terms in a or b or ab, can include constants), eg $2ab(1a + 3ab)$, $2ab(1 + 3b)$
			A1	for $2ab(a + 3b)$
15 (a)		0.47	B1	
(b)		2.28×10^9	M1	for correct value but not in standard form, eg $22.8 \times 10^{3+5}$, 228×10^7, 2 280 000 000 or for 2.28×10^n , $n \neq 9$
			A1	cao
16		T shown on the map	C1	for showing a perpendicular bisector or point T equidistant from points B and C.
			C1	for a circle or arc of circle of radius 2.5 cm or point T 2.5 cm from point A
			C1	for T shown in correct position

Paper 1MA1: 2F				
Question	**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
17		98	P1	for process to find P(1), e.g. 1 – 0.17 – 0.18 – 0.09 – 0.15 – 0.1 (= 0.31) or for a process to find P(1 or 3), e.g. 1 – 0.17 – 0.09 – 0.15 – 0.1 (= 0.49)
			P1	for process to find the number of 3s, e.g. 0.18 × 200 (=36) or process to find the number of 1s, e.g. P(1) × 200 (= 62), or process to find the number of (1 or 3)s, eg [P(1) + 0.18] × 200 or process to find any expected frequency, using any probability × 200, eg 0.17 × 200
			A1	cao
				OR
		98	P1	for process to find P(2 or 4 or 5 or 6), eg 0.17 + 0.09 + 0.15 + 0.1 (= 0.51)
			P1	for process to find the number of (2 or 4 or 5 or 6)'s, eg "0.51" × 200 (= 102)
			A1	cao

Paper 1MA1: 2F				
Question	**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
18		Yes (supported)	P1	for process to work out the total number of children, e.g. 117 × 4 (= 468)
			P1	(dep P1) for process to work out total number of adults or the total number of people, e.g. "468" × 5 ÷ 2 (= 1170) or "468" × 7 ÷ 2 (= 1638)
			A1	for 1170 or 1638
			P1	for process to work out the percentage of theatre full, e.g. $\frac{"468"+"1170"}{2600} \times 100$ (= 63) or for a process to work out 60% of 2600 (= 1560)
			C1	for a correct conclusion supported by correct figures e.g. 63% or 1560 **and** 1638
				OR
			P1	for a process to work out 60% of 2600, eg. $\frac{60}{100} \times 2600$ (= 1560)
			P1	(dep P1) for process to work out total number of children, e.g. "1560" × 2 ÷ 7 (= 445(.7...)
			A1	for 445(.7...)
			P1	for process to work out number of children in the circle, eg. "445(.7...)" ÷ 4 (= 111 to 112)
			C1	for a correct conclusion supported by correct figures e.g. 111 to 112
				[Where appropriate, accept rounded or truncated values]

Paper 1MA1: 2F				
Question	**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
18 cont.				OR
			P1	for a process to find the maximum number of children, eg. 2600 × 2 ÷ 7 (= 742(.8…))
			P1	for process to work out the total number of children, e.g. 117 × 4 (= 468)
			A1	for 468 and 742(.8...)
			P1	for $\frac{"468"}{"742(.8..)"} \times 100$ (= 63) or process to work out 60% of "742.8.." (= 445(7..))
			C1	for a correct conclusion supported by correct figures e.g. 63% or 468 and 445(.7...)
				[Where appropriate, accept rounded or truncated values]
19		Side elevation	C2	for the side elevation (4 cm by 2 cm rectangle with a solid line drawn 1 cm from the 2 cm edge, and correct orientation)
			[C1	for the side elevation as a rectangle]
		Front elevation	C2	for the front elevation as a trapezium in correct orientation with base 4 cm, parallel sides 1 cm and 4 cm
			[C1	for the front elevation as a trapezium with two right angles]
				[Ignore incorrect or no labelling]

Paper 1MA1: 2F				
Question	**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
20 (a)		57.1	P1	for a process to find time from Liverpool to Manchester, eg. 56 ÷ 70 (= 0.8 (hrs) or 48 (mins))
			P1	for a process to find the total distance, eg 56 + 61 (= 117) or the total time, eg"48" + 75 (= 123) or "0.8" + $\frac{75}{60}$ (= 2.05), with consistent units of time
			P1	(dep P2) for a correct process to find average speed with consistent units of time, eg."117" ÷ "2.05" or ."117" ÷ "123"
			A1	for answer in the range 57 to 57.1
(b)		explanation	C1	for explaining that the time taken for the two parts of the journey must be the same or the distance from Leeds to York is $\frac{3}{4}$ the distance from Barnsley to York oe
21 (a)		3.9	M1	for a ratio of $\frac{8.1}{5.4}$ (= 1.5) oe or $\frac{5.4}{8.1}$ (= 0.66..) oe or $\frac{2.6}{5.4}$ (= 0.48..) oe or $\frac{5.4}{2.6}$ (= 2.07..) oe
			A1	cao
(b)		2.05	M1	for $\frac{5.4}{8.1} \times 6.15$ (= 4.1) or $\frac{2.7}{8.1} \times 6.15$ oe or ft "scale factor" from (a)
			A1	cao

Paper 1MA1: 2F				
Question	**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
22		Secure Bank (supported)	P1 P1 C1	for a process to work out the interest after one year e.g. 0.02×25000 (= 500) or 0.043×25000 (= 1075) or for 1.02 or 25500 or 1.043 or 26075 for process to find value of the investment after 3 years or the multiplicative factor for 3 years at one of the banks, e.g. $25000 \times 1.02 \times 1.02 \times 1.02$ oe (= 26530...) or 1.02^3 (= 1.0612...) or $25000 \times 1.043 \times 1.009 \times 1.009$ oe (= 26546...) or $1.043 \times 1.009 \times 1.009$ (= 1.0618.....) [accept total interest of 1530...or 1546...if final values of investment are not found] for Secure Bank from correct figures eg 26530.. and 26546..or 1530.. and 1546.. or 1.0612.. and 1.0618
23		$4.755 \leq n < 4.765$	B2 [B1	for $4.755 \leq n < 4.765$ for 4.755 or 4.765 or 4.764$\dot{9}$]
24		$x = -8$, $x = 3$	M1 M1 A1	for factorisation or for substitution into quadratic formula $(x \pm a)(x \pm b)$ where product of a and b = 24, eg $(x \pm 4)(x \pm 6)$ or difference of a and b = 5, eg $(x \pm 2)(x \pm 7)$ $\frac{-5 \pm \sqrt{5^2 - 4 \times 1 \times -24}}{2}$ oe (condone one sign error) for $(x + 8)(x - 3)$ or for $\frac{-5 \pm \sqrt{121}}{2}$ oe cao

Paper 1MA1: 2F				
Question	**Working**	**Answer**	**Mark**	**Notes**
25 (a)		$5n - 2$	B2	for $5n - 2$ oe
			[B1	for $5n + k$, k may be 0]
(b)		No (supported)	C1	for No with evidence, e.g. $3 \times 4^2 = 48$, $\sqrt{48}$ is not an integer, he has multiplied by 3 first but should have squared first

Modifications to the mark scheme for Modified Large Print (MLP) papers.

Only mark scheme amendments are shown where the enlargement or modification of the paper requires a change in the mark scheme.

The following tolerances should be accepted on marking MLP papers, unless otherwise stated below:
Angles: ±5°
Measurements of length: ±5 mm

PAPER: 1MA1_2F			
Question		**Modification**	**Mark scheme notes**
1	(c)	MLP and braille: *c* and *d* changed to *s* and *t*.	Standard mark scheme but change *c* & *d* to *s* & *t*
3		Grid enlarged. Key moved above the diagram. Right axis has been labelled. Boys shading has been changed to dotty. The vertical axis label has been moved above the vertical axis	Standard mark scheme
5	(b)	Pictogram enlarged. Key moved above the diagram.	Standard mark scheme
8		Wording 'nine' added	Standard mark scheme
9		Diagram enlarged. Angle sizes moved outside the angle arcs; the arcs have been made smaller.	Standard mark scheme
11		Grid enlarged. Right axis labelled. Axes labels have been moved to the left of the horizontal axis and above the vertical axis. In (a) Number '74' changed to '90'.	(a) becomes 35 to 37. In (b) suggest 180 to 200
13	(a)	Question reversed. Rotation drawn on the diagram and labelled as 'shape B'. Grid enlarged and *y* axis reduced so it finishes at 2. Shapes labelled 'shape A' and 'shape B'. Wording changed 'It shows shape A and shape B given on a grid. Describe fully the single transformation that maps shape A onto shape B.'	Award B1 for "rotation" Award B1 for "90° clockwise about centre O [or (0,0)] NB: award B0 for any indication of a mention of other transformations
13	(b)	Grid enlarged and y axis reduced so it starts at -2. Wording 'B' and 'C' deleted and shapes labelled as 'shape P' and 'shape Q'. Triangles P and Q moved above the *x* axis. Wording added 'It shows shape P and shape Q given on a grid.'	Standard mark scheme

PAPER: 1MA1_2F			
Question		**Modification**	**Mark scheme notes**
14	(b)	MLP only: *a* changed to *x* and *b* changed to *y*.	Standard mark scheme but change *a* & *b* to *x* & *y*
16		Number '250 metres' changed to '500 metres'. Points *B* and *C* moved to the right to allow for use of specialist equipment. Points *B* and *C* joined with a line. Scale moved above the diagram.	Standard mark scheme, but given the alternations to the given diagram, the relative positions of any constructions and the position(s) of *T* will change.
17		Table turned to vertical format.	Standard mark scheme
19		Alternative question. Model has been provided for all candidates. Diagram enlarged and also provided for MLP. The measurements on the prism have been doubled. Wording added next to the diagram of the trapezium 'Diagram NOT accurately drawn'. Four shapes have been provided below the trapezium labelled A to D. Wording added above the four shapes 'scale: 2 cm to 1 metre'. Question wording has changed and has been split into two parts: 'Look at the model or at the diagrams for Question 19 in the Diagram Book. They show a prism with a cross section in the shape of a trapezium. All measurements are in metres. Below the prism there are four shapes A, B, C and D. (i) Which shape shows the front elevation of the prism? (ii) Which shape shows the side elevation of the prism?'	Mark scheme amended as follows: (i) B2 for C (ii) B2 for A (B1 for B or D)
21		Diagram enlarged. Measurements '2.6 cm' and '5.4 cm' added to the diagram.	Standard mark scheme

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828
with its registered office at 80 Strand, London, WC2R 0RL, United Kingdom

Question 19

The vast majority of students did not understand how to draw the locus of points a given distance from a point and so it was rare to see attempts at arcs drawn with point A as the centre. Often points were marked at a distance of 6 cm from A. This gained no marks other than the M1 for using the scale (180 ÷ 30) correctly. This was the only mark gained by many students who failed to draw any loci on the diagram. Clearly a lot of students had no concept of loci either not attempting the question or simply shading an area with no defined boundaries. However, many students were able to score at least two marks for a correct line segment drawn 5 cm from the line BC. Sometimes this was achieved by drawing a 'table' with one side 5 cm from BC. Many students shaded a small rectangular table in the middle of the region.

Question 27

Fully correct answers to this question were hardly ever seen. Only about one third of students were able to get at least one mark for drawing a correct bearing and/or for calculating the distance travelled by the boat and using the scale of the diagram. Examiners were able to award three marks to students who plotted the position of Q accurately on the diagram. Those who did plot Q correctly were often able to use the scale to give an accurate distance from L to Q but were unable to measure the bearing, often giving the angle measured anticlockwise from the north line. Weaker students often started their working by multiplying 90 by 12 but seemingly with little purpose evident. There was a disappointing number of students who measured the length of one or more of the north lines on the diagram.

Question 15

This question was not answered particularly well. Many students were not able to use the scale correctly. The length of the plane was often multiplied by 24 instead of being divided by 24 and it was common for students to add 1 and 24 and then multiply or divide the length of the plane by 25. The conversion from metres to centimetres caused more problems than expected. Common mistakes were dividing by 100 instead of multiplying by 100 or using 1 metre = 10 centimetres or 1 metre = 1000 centimetres. Some students used the scale correctly and divided 19.2 by 24 to find the length of the scale model but forgot to convert their answer to centimetres. Many students gained just one mark for $19.2 \times 100 = 1920$.

Question 13

Part (a) was very poorly answered indeed. Clearly many students did not understand the concept of bearing and very few candidates measured an angle.

Part (b) saw more success with many realising the need to measure the length of *AB*. 5cm or 50 mm being the most common result. Many correctly used the given scale but were then often unable to convert their distance (usually 125000 cm) into kilometres with very few obtaining a correct answer in the required range. Some thought that there was a link between their bearing in part (a) and multiplied this by 25000. Some students unfortunately did not have the measuring equipment needed to be able to attempt this question.

Question 5

This question was well answered, with most students scoring the 2 marks available. The most common incorrect responses were either to draw a 6cm by 3cm rectangle, seemingly from counting the grid lines rather than the squares, or to draw either a 5cm by 1cm rectangle or a 5cm by 5cm square. When full marks were not awarded, a mark was often achieved for engaging with the scale given by either drawing a diagram with one dimension correct or by calculating both dimensions correctly.

Question 12

In part (a) there were, surprisingly, too many instances of students incorrectly measuring the distance between Shelton and Trilby. Failure to indicate where their measurements came from resulted in lost marks for many. For example, those who just estimated (or prematurely rounded) their distance to 3 cm but did not indicate this was the distance between Shelton and Trilby could not be credited any marks. This was not uncommon. Some measured accurately and then failed to use the scale correctly. Common incorrect answers were $35 \times 2 = 70$, or doing 2×20 then adding on $0.5 = 40.5$

There were far fewer correct answers to part (b). When 1 mark was awarded, it was usually for finding 6000 but failing to convert to metres. Knowledge of unit conversion remains a weakness.

Question 16

This use of scale question was accessible with many pleasingly being awarded the 3 marks available. Students generally answered this question well with many using the correct process to find the scale factor between the two corresponding lengths and then continuing to multiply correctly by the width of the scale drawing. When full marks were not awarded, it was often due to converting the figures and getting confused, such as working with a scale factor of 500, having commonly converted 62 metres into centimetres. This approach occasionally caused confusion when units were not changed consistently. A small minority tried to find area; careful reading of the question is always recommended.

Centres could encourage students to show all steps of their method as there were some answers that may have come from a partially correct method but with little or no working shown credit could not be given. Students could also be reminded that when answering questions involving scale they could draw a diagram to help them.

Question 13

Part (a) was well attempted by most students who were able to measure accurately and convert to metres. It was pleasing to see that most showed the calculations required for the conversion. The majority of these went on to gain full marks correctly, adding the appropriate two distances and subtracting the other to find the difference in the overall total. There were a few who used their measurements to calculate the difference of 2 and then multiply by 150 which is a very efficient method. Those that did not measure accurately often went on to gain one mark for showing clear working for conversion and then the difference between the distances, but it was disappointing to find students using measurements that were more than $\frac{1}{2}$ cm different to the diagram, leading to the suspicion that they either did not have a ruler, or did not know how to use it accurately.

In part (b) the vast majority were unable to tackle this bearings question. Of those who did attempt this question it was common to see the bearing of C from A given. There were very few correct answers in the range with quite a few answers of 285 seen, which was just out of range.

Question 8

It was pleasing to see the majority of students attempt this first mini problem-solving question. Whilst there was mixed level of success, many students were able to gain full marks by reaching an answer of 96, either using the scale before or after adding the distances. When a correct answer was not given, many gained partial credit for either using the scale for one of the shorter distances or for adding both lengths to find the total distance. A significant minority of students ignored the labelling on the diagram, and attempted to work with their own measurements, typically using 12cm. Centres should encourage students to refer to diagrams, particularly noting any labels or measurements given.

Question 17

It was disappointing to find that this question was answered so poorly with many candidates leaving this blank. Whether this was due to the absence of a ruler and protractor is unknown. The correct distance was the most successful part of their answers although indicating where exactly their point was sometimes led to confusion. The bearing was very poorly done with candidates often using the N line, which could be due to a failure to use a protractor correctly; certainly, there was evidence of some candidates using the wrong “63” on their protractor.

Question 27

Very few students were able to demonstrate an ability to calculate with bearings in this question. A common incorrect answer was 360 – 147 = 213 and suggests a lack of understanding of this type of bearings question. Very few students attempted to draw a diagram, which would have been helpful in calculating their answer and occasionally gained 1 mark. Students should be encouraged to draw a diagram as a starting point for this type of question.

Question 6

Part (a) was answered well with the majority of students gaining 2 marks for a correct answer of 32. Of those that didn't, many gained 1 mark for a correct process e.g. 8 × 4 evaluated inaccurately, despite this being a calculator paper. Some students attempted a build-up process e.g. adding 8 lots of 4 but missed one out or included an extra 4, gaining 0 marks.

Part (b) saw more mixed results. Again most of the cohort gained 2 marks for a correct value with accompanying units, e.g. 2.5 cm. Of those that didn't, some were able to gain 1 mark for 2.5 without the correct units. A significant number of students were unable to show a correct process and gained 0 marks. Some students used the values from part (a) rather than part (b) or tried to multiply like in part (a) e.g. 4 × 10.

Question 15

Performance in this question assessing the use of a ratio scale was poor but in line with similar questions in previous series and fully correct responses were rarely seen. A large number of learners were able to get started, usually by using 14 cm with the scale partially to gain the first mark but many were then unable to convert between cm and km, often just dividing by 1000 and not able to show an understanding that 25 000 represented cm rather than metres. When full marks were awarded, learners often showed the required division by 100 000 in stages of dividing by 100 followed by 1000. Some learners attempted no conversion at all.
In some cases, there was confusion about how to use the scale, with wrong attempts such as $25000 \div 14$ as a first step but this was less commonly seen.

Question 14

This question required learners to work with unit conversions and a map scale in order to find the length of a road on a map. There were two aspects that needed to be addressed in responding to this question – unit conversion between kilometres and centimetres (which could be done in stages or by converting everything to metres) and applying the scale of 1:20000.

Few learners were able to correctly find that the length of the road on the map would be 8cm and there were a variety of errors seen. Where fully correct answers were seen these generally involved converting 1.6 km to 160 000 cm with 1600 m often seen as an intermediate step, followed by division by 20000 or by comparing the ratio 1 : 20000 to a ratio of x : 160000 and working with equivalent ratios. Some learners made a start to a conversion, often by identifying that 1.6 km is 1600 m, and then were able to identify that they should divide by 20000 which scored 2 marks. It was also common to award 1 mark for conversion of 1.6km to 1600m which was followed by no further correct working.

Where conversions were attempted, there were often errors seen in these, often due to linking these to the incorrect unit, for example 1600 cm, or using an incorrect conversion factor which was generally the wrong power of 10 (10, 100, 1000 being used for the wrong conversion). Incorrect conversions were only occasionally followed by a correct attempt to apply the scale factor for the map, however where this was seen this was awarded 1 mark for [distance] ÷ 20000. Common incorrect answers involved processes with incorrect attempts at conversion, multiplication of 1.6 by 20000, or division of 20000 by 1.6. In other cases learners used a variety of different arithmetic operations with the numbers in the question and attempted conversion factors. It was notable that many of the incorrect answers seen were not reasonable for a length of a road on a map.

Question 14

In part (a), B1 was awarded for a bearing of 115°. Any value between 113° and 117° was accepted. Common incorrect responses included 50°, 65°, 90°, and 180°.

In part (b), the most frequent correct answer was 30. Two marks were awarded for any value between 29.2 and 30.8, allowing for the measurement of *CD* to fall within the range of 7.3 to 7.7. One mark was awarded where *CD* was measured accurately but either converted incorrectly or given without multiplying by 4. One mark could also be awarded where *CD* was measured outside the accepted range but then multiplied by 4, provided the measurement was clearly identified as *CD*, either by labelling on the diagram or stating it in the workspace.

Responses that gained 0 marks typically showed inaccurate measurement. A common example was $7 \times 4 = 28$, where 7 was not clearly identified as *CD*, resulting in no credit.

Pearson Edexcel

Examiners' Report
Principal Examiner Feedback

November 2022

Pearson Edexcel GCSE (9 – 1)
In Mathematics (1MA1)
Foundation (Non-Calculator) Paper 1F

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

November 2022
Publications Code 1MA1_1H_2211_ER
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2022

GCSE Mathematics 1MA1
Principal Examiner Feedback – Foundation Paper 1

Introduction

The paper offered an opportunity for students of all abilities to demonstrate their understanding of a variety of mathematical concepts.
The early questions acted as a good confidence building way into the paper, with many students gaining a good proportion of the early marks.

Lack of careful reading of some of the questions was a cause for concern; particular ones are quoted in the summary below. Also worrying is the number of arithmetical errors that featured in many students' work. Simple application of the four rules was found wanting on many occasions. For example, 10000/8 being seen as 8/10000. Students should be encouraged to check working before being totally satisfied with their answers.

Some students were clearly avoiding tackling questions that involved algebraic techniques. These attempted trial-and-error methods from quite early on in the paper, even for the simpler questions where an algebraic approach was straightforward.

The quality of handwriting from some candidates made their responses difficult to read. Students are advised to avoid rushing through their work.

Areas of the curriculum that need more attention are, Geometric reasoning (Q9b), Describing transformations (Q11), Equation of a straight line (Q11bii), Fractions (Q20), Indices (Q21) Comparison of ratios (Q24) and Factorisation of polynomials (Q26b).

Again, it was pleasing to see many students clearly showing their working and utilising their communication skills when required. This continues to be an area of improvement.

Report on individual questions

Question 1. This first question was generally well answered and served as a good introduction to the paper. Correct answers of $\frac{3}{10}$ or $\frac{30}{100}$ were the most common.

Question 2. This question was usually answered correctly although an answer of 6 (3×2) was a common mistake.

Question 3. Another well answered question with few mistakes. It was pleasing to see most able to follow the correct order of operations.

Question 4. Although 10 and/or 12 were seen more often than not, a number of students failed to gain the mark by offering extra, incorrect values. 6 being the most common seen.

Question 5. Many students failed to score in this question by not simplifying the given expression fully. $3w \times 5t$ and $15 \times wt$ were popular partial simplifications gaining no marks.

Question 6. It was very pleasing to see so many students gaining good marks on this multi-step question. The vast majority gaining 2+ marks. In general, marks were lost through not reading the question carefully. Often just one child was considered, or two adults were included. Another common mistake was to omit the cost of petrol while in other cases it was seen as a cost for each person. Poor arithmetic often denied many full marks, mainly with the addition of two child tickets (the only non-integer), or with an inability to line up integers and non-integers successfully in a column addition method. Some gave the total cost of the trip instead of the change.

The standard of presentation of answers was lacking, in some cases, with little structure or order to what they were doing

Question 7. Part (a) was answered well, the vast majority clearly understanding the concept of mode. In part (b) also, understanding of probability scales and probability in general was good. In (ii), some simply added a cross to the probability scale, failing to quote the actual numerical probability; this gained no marks. Some students are still using terms such as unlikely in terms of describing probability.

Question 8. Part (a) was answered well, with very few failing to score the mark. Candidates performed less well in part (b) with many not collecting the like terms of $3n$ and n together as a first step. Many tried to subtract the n from both sides of the equation either resulting in $2n = 24$ (giving $n = 12$) or $3n = 24$ (giving $n = 8$). In this question, students usually scored no marks or full marks. Some used an embedded solution, with mixed success. Many candidates who achieved full marks did not attempt the algebraic approach and instead simply stated that $3 \times 6 + 6 = 24$, with a correct answer on the answer line.

Question 9. Part (a) was well answered with the vast majority subtracting 70 from 360 to get a correct answer of 290°. However, a great many were unable to give a correct reason for this answer in part (b). The most common incorrect explanation related to a circle "having 360°". Students need to be made aware that such an explanation is not acceptable. Many simply explained how they did it, rather than why e.g. "I subtracted 70 from 360". Students should be reminded that a calculation is not a reason.
In this case "angles at a point sum to 360°" or words to that effect is the reason required.
A common incorrect approach was to work with 180° rather than 360°.

Question 10. Most students correctly worked out that 5 was the greatest number of jars of coffee that could be bought for £23 in part (a). In part (b), a large number of candidates lost the mark as they hadn't read the question carefully enough, missing the word "exactly" in reference to the number of jars to be bought at half price. Many realised that Michael could now buy an extra 11th jar but then lost the mark because they answered 'yes' to the question "Is Michael correct?".

Question 11. Whilst many students correctly wrote down the scale factor of 2 in (a)(i), only a very small minority were able to pinpoint the centre of the enlargement in (ii). Many tried to position their centre either in between the two shaded triangles, in the centre of one of the triangles or on one (or two) of the vertices. In some cases, students who were able to draw lines to intersect at the point of enlargement identified it correctly but missed the mark because they also placed 'X' somewhere else on the graph.
In part (b)(i), accurate drawing of the mirror line was good. Whilst lines drawn with a straight edge are preferred, freehand, dotted or dashed lines were acceptable. In part (b)(ii), unfortunately, very few students were able to give the equation of their line. Common incorrect lines drawn were $x = 4$ or $y = 4$, which in some cases allowed a follow through mark if they stated this as their equation for (ii).

Question 12. Again with this multi-step problem in part (a), it was pleasing to see many students gaining good marks, often 2 or more. In this question, students had to find a sixth and 20% of 120 minutes. When performing calculations of this type it is important for students to show their working, eg $120 \div 6$ or $\frac{20}{100} \times 120$ so that credit can be awarded for correct method if errors are made with accuracy. In solving this problem, some students subtracted 50 from 120 to give 70 minutes and used this as the time spent playing badminton. Arithmetic errors were seen far too often from students when subtracting from 120.
In part (b), many ignored the good work they had done in part (a) and explained that Elana did not get to the café on time because she spent 2 hours at the sports centre making it 3.30pm when she left. This part followed through the working and answer in part (a) and the mark awarded if the reason and working were correct for the time given in (a).

Question 13. Part (a) was answered correctly by the majority of students, reading 60 from the bar chart. Part (b) was answered less well when a certain amount of extrapolation was required from the chart. 210 was a common incorrect answer.
In part (c), it was evident that many students weren't able to accurately read data from a composite bar chart, many reading the number of men as 90, women 200 and children 280 in 2020. The method mark here was awarded for sight of 80 (children) or 200 (men + women) used in a ratio. Many gained this mark but failed to score full marks as a result of incorrect values read from the chart. As a result of not reading the questions correctly, some students wrote an answer of 80 (child) : 90 (men) : 110 (women).

Question 14. Greater success was achieved in part (a) of this question by finding the sum of the distance travelled in one hour (54 miles) and the distance travelled in half an hour (27 miles). It was pleasing however, to see many students correctly quoting the equation distance = speed × time to solve this problem, although accuracy was often lost through incorrect use of the units of time. 54 × 90(mins) or 54 × 1.30 were common errors made.
In part (b), very few students were able to correctly convert from centimetres to kilometres. Many gained one mark for using the scale on the map correctly, 25 000 × 6. Credit was then given for a reasonable attempt at the conversion of 150 000 cm to km, usually just dividing by 1000. Most didn't seem to be clear that they had found a measurement in cm by multiplying by 25 000, those who did include units were more likely to be able to convert correctly as they often spotted that they could convert cm to m then m to km.

Question 15. The diagram proved very useful in getting one correct coordinate, for which one mark was awarded, usually $y = 1$, but rarely was a fully correct answer seen. Whilst many students did use the diagram, drawing the correct line segment, it was also rare to see the correct positioning of the required midpoint. This was often seen placed on the y-axis.
There were very few instances of candidates using written methods to find the midpoint.

Question 16. Those students who attempted an algebraic solution often picked up good marks, 2 or more, for a correct expression for the perimeter and then equating it to the given value of 52. Simplifying their algebraic expression often proved more difficult and it was not uncommon to see quadratic terms creeping in. Some students assumed all the lengths to be the same and calculated 52/4 gaining no marks.
Some students correctly found the value of x and then failed to answer the question by finding $2x$, the length of DC. Poor arithmetic let many students down in being unable to divide 57 by 6 and hence not being able to achieve the accuracy mark.
Many students did however adopt a trial and improvement approach which usually cost them a great deal of time for little reward.

Question 17. Again, understanding of probability was good and many students gained the full 2 marks in part (a). One mark was awarded for sight of 100 – 30 (= 70) or a correct value for the probability of taking a blue counter. $\frac{3}{4}$ was a common incorrect answer by some of the less able students, assuming there was an equal number of coloured counters. Students should be reminded that expressing a probability as a ratio is not an acceptable form for this answer.
In part (b), the correct answer of 45 was the modal answer, with incorrect methods following no particular pattern. A common incorrect attempt was also to try to share 30 in the ratio 2 : 3.
Part (c) followed through student's answers in (b). Explanations were usually acceptable, such that 25 counters could not be shared equally between red and yellow. It should be noted that just saying '25 can't be divided by 2' gained no credit. Also, students should be aware that any calculations given as evidence in such questions need to be accurate.

Question 18. Many students were unable to make any progress in this question by not reading the question fully and jumping in to either find a half and/or a twelfth of 240 or to divide 240 by 5, then 3 then 2. Those that correctly divided 240 in the ratio 5 : 3 : 2 usually went on to gain good marks. Many scored 3 marks for finding the numbers of cans remaining, 120, 36 and 44 but were then unable to find the required percentage of cola cans remaining. Finding one number as a percentage of another is an area that needs addressing. Those that did find a percentage were often successful by simplifying their fraction of 120/200 to 60/100.

Question 19. The factorising of 500 was usually carried out with the aid of a factor tree diagram, many of which were seen to be fully correct. Failure to gain full marks was usually down to not giving their product of prime factors as powers as requested, often just leaving the factors in a list. Many arithmetical errors in the construction of a factor tree and some misunderstandings in the concept were seen where the sum instead of a product was given. Centres should emphasise here that complete tree diagrams should have each final branch ending in a prime

Question 20. In part (a), those students who dealt with the fractions and whole numbers separately often gained greater success in achieving full marks, although an answer of $3\frac{4}{9}$ was not uncommon.
Although no credit was given for simply converting the mixed numbers to improper fractions, many did so accurately and then were able to convert both to a common denominator with at least one correct numerator to gain one mark. However, the answer following this approach was often left as an improper fraction, $\frac{77}{20}$. Unfortunately, many just added the numerators and the denominators to give an incorrect answer of $\frac{17}{9}$.
Part (b) proved to be a much greater challenge for many students, many tried to concoct means of dividing $2\frac{2}{3}$ by 6 to get $\frac{4}{9}$, a few students however correctly changed $\frac{8}{3}$ to $\frac{24}{9}$ and divided this by 6 to get $\frac{4}{9}$. The most successful approach was $\frac{8}{3} \times \frac{1}{6}$ showing an ability to divide a fraction by a whole number. Some had success by transposing the problem to show that $\frac{4}{9}$ multiplied by 6 is equal to $2\frac{2}{3}$, although $\frac{24}{54}$ was often seen.
Many students seemed to not understand the requirements for this question.

Question 21. It was clear that the rules of indices are known by many students but the application of them was very poor. $(2^{-5} \times 2^{8})$ was often written as 4^3. This had to be carefully marked as 4^3 as a final answer was an acceptable Special Case answer for one mark.
Sometimes 2^{-10} or 2^{16} were seen but rarely both.
Less able students often unsuccessfully tried to evaluate the terms before finding a product or squaring and had little understanding of negative indices. Occasionally 2^3 was seen for the method mark but this was followed by 2^5. Working with negative numbers continues to be a problem with -5+8 being evaluated as 13 or -13. Many worked exclusively with the powers and did not include the base number at all; -5 + 8 = 3 was often seen.

Question 22. It was common to see the digits 128 quoted in the working or in an answer, but rarely was the fully correct answer of 0.00128 seen. Very few seemed to know the rule of finding the product of the numbers and then counting the decimal places in order to position the decimal point. Many used long multiplication techniques, usually to no avail. It was rare to see an answer given in standard form. Method marks were sometimes gained for arithmetic errors in working but with a correctly positioned decimal place.

Question 23. This question was not answered well at all. Sampling techniques were not well understood at this level. Many either multiplied 40 000 by 80 or divided by 15. Attempts to divide 40 000 by 80 often introduced arithmetic errors, some just left 500 as their answer and those that knew to multiply by 15 struggled to do so correctly. Some students tried to work with percentages which rarely gained any credit eg 15%.
Students need to be encouraged to consider the suitability of their answers as some offered answers which were greater than 40 000, the total number of cars produced.

Question 24. Students at this level find the comparing of ratios particularly challenging. The most common error in part (a)(i) was to add the values of b in the ratios to give a triple ratio of 1 : 9 : 5 or used some of the numbers in the given ratios and gave an answer of 1 : 3 : 5. Some using a correct common multiple often spoiled their final answer by more arithmetical mistakes. Although (i) was poorly answered many picked up marks for correctly following through with their values for a, b and c in part (ii).
In part (b), few students were able to make significant progress. Those who assigned values to m, n and p were often successful, but this was rare. The substitution of $n = 2m$ into $p = 5n$ was rare also but usually successful when seen. Occasionally answers lost the accuracy mark for an incorrect order of 10 : 1 or for including letters in their final answer, eg. 1n : 10n.

Question 25. This was very poorly answered. The formula and the force both being given meant there was only the need to work out the area by multiplying 4 by 2. Some students still think that when working with area, they need to square the values first before using them to divide into 10 000. Those who correctly worked out the area usually tried to divide 10 000 by 8, for one mark, but often then failed, again through poor arithmetic. Some stated the area as 6 (4 + 2) and therefore gained no credit.

Question 26. There were many trial and improvement attempts to answer part (a) of this question, the majority of which were unsuccessful, however a few did throw up the critical value of 6. Those following an algebraic approach were able to score one mark initially for a correct process to start a solution. Subtracting 3 from both sides was usually successful but multiplying both sides by 2 was often carried out incorrectly by omitting to multiply the 3 by 2 resulting in $5x + 3 > 36$ or $5x + 3 = 36$. A correct first step was often followed by an incorrect second step or no second step at all.
Replacing the inequality by an equality is not penalised but students must remember to replace the inequality for the final answer. Far too often an answer of $x = 6$ was given.
In part (b), the ability to factorise a polynomial was sketchy. Factorisation of the first two terms [eg. $x(x + 10) + 9$] was often seen but this gained no credit.
$(x + 10)(x – 1)$ was also a common incorrect answer seen. Common incorrect answers included $(x + 1x)(x + 9)$ or $(x + 9x)(x + 1)$

Summary

On the evidence of performance on this paper, students need to:

- take greater care when reading the questions. Performance in questions 6, 10b, 12 and 18 in particular was severely affected by this.
- take care when carrying out arithmetic operations and check their working to avoid careless errors.
- write clearly so that correct values quoted are not altered in subsequent working.
- show clear methods of working particularly in basic calculations such as finding fractions or percentages of quantities.
- set their working out clearly, crossing out working that is being replaced.
- be able to convert using metric units of distance.
- be clear on the specific wording required when giving geometric reasoning

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828
with its registered office at 80 Strand, London, WC2R 0RL, United Kingdom

Pearson Edexcel

Examiners' Report

Principal Examiner Feedback

November 2023

Pearson Edexcel GCSE (9 – 1)
In Mathematics (1MA1)
Foundation (Non-Calculator) Paper 1F

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

November 2023
Publications Code 1MA1_1F_2311_ER
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2023

GCSE (9 – 1) Mathematics – 1MA1
Principal Examiner Feedback – Foundation Paper 1

Introduction

This paper provided good coverage across the specification and allowed students the opportunity to demonstrate their ability across the grades. Plenty of success was seen across the early part of the paper as students showed confidence picking up marks in the first half of the paper.

Challenges arose when questions contained a context and with it large amounts of text; extracting the key pieces of information and applying it using the correct mathematical processes are an area for improvement. Good amounts of working out were seen which certainly helped students, especially those which had arithmetic errors as part of their solution.

Question 21 onwards proved challenging for this cohort with very few students gaining full marks and the mode score being 0 marks on almost all questions. Nevertheless, the majority of questions were at least attempted in a bid to gain some credit.

For those questions requiring a written conclusion, most responses did have some sort of decision showing that students are well-accustomed to this sort of demand in a question.

Areas of the specification that need to be improved upon are highlighted in the list at the end of this report.

REPORT ON INDIVIDUAL QUESTONS

Question 1

A good number of students were able to give a correct answer of 6 but there were a significant number who did not and a wide variety of incorrect answers were given. The most common incorrect answers were 4 (from finding the median) and 5 (from finding the mean).

Question 2

This question was answered well with the majority of students able to give a correct answer of 31. Of those that didn't gain B1, 209 was the most common incorrect answer from adding instead of subtracting; 41 was also seen when students were unable to deal with the tens correctly.

Question 3

This simplifying question saw mixed results. The majority of students were able to give a correct answer of $12a$ but there were a variety of incorrect answers seen. Students should note that this

type of simplifying question requires no multiplication signs in the answer; $12 \times a$ and $3 \times 4a$ were often seen and gained no marks.

Question 4

Measuring angles is an area of the specification that needs attention. Although some students did gain a correct answer in range, with 40 most commonly seen, there were a whole range of incorrect answers given such as 35, 50 and 140.

Question 5

The majority of this cohort were able to gain B1 for a correct answer of 60. Of those that didn't, 50 and 150 were the most common incorrect answers seen.

Question 6

The majority of students gained 3 marks for an answer of 2300 on this question. For those that didn't it was an error in conversion which was often seen with 1 litre = 100 millilitres being the most common incorrect conversion used; these students could still gain 1 mark if they had a correct process to find the difference using one of the incorrect conversions stated in the mark scheme. Those students who converted 3 litres into millilitres were more successful than those who converted 700 ml into litres and errors in arithmetic were noted when doing 3000 – 700. A small number of students arrived at 2300 but spoiled their answer by then trying to convert to litres; this was still allowed if the student gave an answer of 2.3 litres but 2.3 on its own lost the A mark and this was seen on occasions. Some students also missed that the question was about 3 litres and instead subtracted 700 from 1 litre (1000 ml) obtained 300 ml and gained no marks.

Question 7

Part (a) was answered very well with the majority gaining B1 for an answer of 15. Some students found the difference between 1 and 5 and added this to 3. Part (b) saw less success with more incorrect answers seen than in (a), the most common being 10 from dividing 20 by 2 instead of 4. There were still a good proportion of the cohort who gained B1 for an answer of 4.

Question 8

Part (a) saw mixed results. A good number of students were able to find the correct frequencies for 2 marks. Many gave the correct frequencies in the tally column and something incorrect such as frequency/24 as a fraction which gained 1 mark. Some also confused the definitions of tally and frequency and put the information in the wrong column. It was encouraging to see that a number of students were crossing out items in the list as they entered their tally marks in the frequency table and were using the correct bar notation for recording the tallies. Part (b) was answered well with many gaining B1 for a correct answer; those that didn't often gave a number

for their answer instead of the correct word. Part (c) saw the full range of marks awarded with most students gaining full marks. Of those that didn't, the most common error was an incorrect linear scale, although these students could still gain 2 marks for labelling bars and correct height bars for their scales and many did. Students often struggled to correctly scale the vertical axis, sometimes writing the numbers between the squares instead of on the gridline or using multiples of 3 or 5 which often led to errors.

Question 9

For part (i) and (ii) the majority of students answered the questions correctly to gain 2 marks. Of those that didn't, giving the answer in an incorrect notation, such as ratio, was often the issue; students should be reminded that correct notation for probabilities are fractions, decimals or percentages. Some students gave an answer of $\frac{8}{22}$ for (ii), e.g. the probability for 'pink' instead of 'not pink'. In (iii) the majority of students gained B1 for a correct answer with 0 and $\frac{0}{22}$ given in equal measure. Incorrect answers generally involved incorrect notation such as 0:22 or worded answers, e.g. impossible.

Question 10

It was pleasing to see the majority of students gain 3 marks on this question. The most common process seen was to divide 60 by 20 and then multiply 250 by 3 to arrive at 750. The majority of students who reached this point also gave a positive decision to gain the full 3 marks. Some students used a 'build-down' process starting at 900 and subtracting 250 three times to deduce there would be 150 grams left. Some students attempted to find the amount needed for one cookie and go from there but this process often led to arithmetic errors. Common incorrect processes often revolved around arithmetic errors and a small number of students reached an accurate figure to compare but gave an incorrect or no decision. Some students worked with the other ingredients too but this was ignored as long as it did not contradict their final answer. A number of students believed doubling and then doubling again went from 20 to 60 rather than 80 and therefore gained no credit.

Question 11

Less than half of students in this cohort were able to gain 2 marks for a correct enlargement. Of those that didn't, the main error was in the height of the triangle and the positioning of the top vertex; most were able to gain B1 for a base of 6 cm. Some students misread the scale factor and enlarged the shape by a different scale factor – usually 2 – if done correctly B1 could still be gained.

Question 12

Part (a)(i) was answered well with most students able to gain 2 marks for an answer of 26. Of those that didn't, it was common to see incorrect algebraic expressions such as $6g + 20h$ and $23 + 45$ was often seen. Part (a)(ii) saw less success with many students unable to make any progress with the substitution. Many were unsure as to which letters should be substituted for which values and substituting g for 38 was often seen. For those that did manage to show a correct substitution, some were able to rearrange correctly to reach an answer of 13. One commonly seen incorrect answer was $g = 26$. Students should be advised to write the correct answer on the answer, on occasions 13 could be seen embedded in a calculation but the wrong answer given on the answer line, leading to 0 marks. Part (b) saw mixed results as less than half of students in this cohort gained 2 marks. Of those that didn't, many gained 1 mark, usually in one of two ways; successfully working out 3×-3 as -9 but being unable to accurately subtract 2 or for a full substitution; common incorrect answers included -7, 7 and 11. Some students wrote notes saying 2 negatives make a positive, leading to the misconception that the negative for the 9 and the subtraction sign meant the two values needed to be added, leading to an answer of 11.

Question 13

This question saw the full range of marks awarded. Some students were able to work through the process to reach an answer of 23p or £0.23. Of those that didn't, it was common to see 2 or 3 marks awarded, in particular for students who reached 160 or 460 but could go no further. Those that earned 3 marks gave a complete process with arithmetic errors in the process. It is encouraging to see that more students are fully demonstrating their method enabling them to gain marks despite their final answer being incorrect. Centres should continue to encourage their students to set out their working in a logical order so that they can access Method and Process marks. Some students dealt with the information and started the process incorrectly such as $100 \div 3$ or started correctly e.g. $100 \div 5$ but went wrong after that such as $20 + 8$ and an answer of 28p. Some students incorrectly divided £3 by 5 following their process of dividing 100 by 5, resulting in an answer of 60p or 68p (after adding 8p) whereas others mixed up units within their working, e.g. 300/100 = 3g instead of 3p.

Question 14

This question saw the full range of marks awarded. Of those students who managed to plot the correct 5 points it was usual to see this accompanied by a straight line through the points. Some students gained 2 marks, usually for a line segment through 3 correct points; students should be able to recognise that an equation of the form $y = mx + c$ produces a straight line and therefore if one point is not in line with the others it will likely be incorrect. Some students gained 1 mark for producing 2 correct points either plotted or stated in the workspace but there were difficulties in calculating y when x was negative. More than half of the cohort gained 0 marks so this is an area of the specification that needs to be worked on.

Question 15

This question saw the full range of marks awarded. The majority of the cohort made a good start with a process to find 25% of 12,000. It was common to see students using the build-up method to work out percentages of amounts but this alone could not be credited with method or process marks if arithmetic errors were made and the process is not complete e.g. all steps seen such as 10% = 12,000 ÷ 10 = 1,200. Many then picked up the 2nd process mark for subtracting the deposit from 12,000 and also the 3rd for a complete process. It was common to see the accuracy mark not gained as students were unable to carry out the arithmetic for the division; 405 and 45 were commonly seen incorrect answers. Some students were not able to gain the first P mark but were able to gain the second and third P mark for a correct process using their deposit value and this was often seen. 12,000 was often misread as 1,200.

Question 16

Around two thirds of this cohort were able to gain 2 marks by reaching a value to show that Shah had passed the exam, usually 42 or 75(%). Some students had a correct method but could not carry it out accurately. Others were not able to gain any marks as they set up a fraction such as $\frac{45}{60}$ but were not able to go any further. Some students reached 42 or 75 but failed to interpret the results correctly, often saying that Shah did not pass the exam.

Question 17

The majority of students were able to gain at least 1 mark for correctly inverting the second fraction and multiplying. It was common to see the second mark gained too, usually for reaching $\frac{18}{5}$. Students who attempted to find a common denominator, e.g. $\frac{18}{30} \div \frac{5}{30}$ often made no further progress. The final step proved to be the most challenging for this cohort but some were able to successfully convert to a mixed number. Students should read the demand of the question carefully as 3.6 given as an answer was seen.

Question 18

The majority of students opted to use column multiplication for this arithmetic question. Some students managed correct place value in their structure and a good number of those managed to reach the digits 1512 to gain the first accuracy mark and place the decimal point correctly to the gain the full 3 marks. Common errors seen were incorrect place value in the columns, as well as incorrect arithmetic when adding the columns, usually arriving at the digits 378 or in trying to break down the components but only using 6 × 2 and 0.3 × 0.4, giving an answer of 13.2. Some students reached 1512 but were unable to place the decimal point in the correct place; centres need to continue to encourage students to use approximation to decide on a sensible place for their decimal point.

Question 19

It was rare to see a correct answer in (a)(i) with incorrect answers of 0 and 5 seen often. (a)(ii) also saw little success with the majority of students gaining B0; common incorrect answers included 0.05, −10 and −25. Part (b) saw mixed results, some students were able to make a correct first step, usually adding the powers for the numerator to reach 2^9. From there students either went onto gain 2 marks for a correct answer or incorrectly simplified, with $2^9 \div 2^3 = 2^3$ most commonly seen. A good proportion of the cohort gained no marks, making an incorrect first step such as $2^5 \times 2^4 = 4^9$ or $2^5 \times 2^4 = 2^{20}$. Some students also evaluated the terms as ordinary numbers and worked from there; if 64 was reached this gained M1 and was seen regularly.

Question 20

Part (a) saw most students make a good attempt at a factor tree. A good number of students were able to complete their factor tree accurately and also write their prime factors as a product. The factor tree was attempted by most students and well set out. There was a good understanding of the need for prime factors and very few included 1. Of those that did not gain 2 marks, common errors included incorrectly considering 39 as a prime number and arithmetic errors when considering the next pair of prime factors. For part (b) it was more common to see 1 mark gained rather than 2. Students were able to produce either the prime factors of 130, at least 4 factors of 156 or 130 or a common factor of 156 and 130. Of those students who gained no marks, finding the lowest common multiple was the most commonly seen incorrect method.

Question 21

Part (a) saw the full range of marks awarded. Some students completed a fully correct process to reach an answer of 3.5 or equivalent. Of those that didn't, some reached as far as $14 \div 4$ but could not follow the process through with 3.2 quite often seen as the result of the division; students and centres should note that $\frac{14}{4}$ gained full marks. Some students were able to find the total length of the 5 sticks but made no further progress. There were also a good number who did not manage to make a correct first step and gained 0 marks; a common error was to calculate 4×7 or 4×4.2 rather than 5×4.2. Part (b) saw little success as most students gained no marks, with common incorrect responses centring around the mean increasing or the length of the other 4 sticks being reduced.

Question 22

It was rare to see a fully correct construction and 90° angle at *P* on this question. Almost all students on this paper did not know what arcs were required. Many students did however gain 1 mark for drawing a 90° angle at *P* without the correct construction lines.

Question 23

Very few students were able to gain full marks on this question. A small number made a positive start and gained one of the first two P marks, the equations most commonly seen were $x = 2y$, $2x + y = 180$ and $y + w = 180$. Division by 3, rather than 5, of the 180° in the triangle was commonly seen. Most students understood the correct angle facts for angles in a triangle / on a straight line. Many students ignored the fact the triangle was isosceles and calculated the angles as if it was an equilateral triangle, giving 60° angles which led to $180 - 60 = 120$ as the incorrect answer. Many students attempted to assign values to x and y, these values were usually incorrect but if the correct values were seen this usually led to a correct value for w as well.

Question 24

This was another challenging multi-step question for this cohort; the majority gained 0 marks. Some were able to make a correct start and set up an equation in x, although some failed to include the x from shelf **A**. Progress from there required a correct process to solve their equation and substitute the value for x into one of the expressions for shelves **B** or **C**, any students that did this successfully often gained the 3rd P mark as well for 7500 ÷ "25". It was rare to see any students get as far as the 4th P mark but those that did usually went on to gain full marks assuming their arithmetic had been correct. There were many completely incorrect solutions seen such as expanding $(3x + 1)(2x - 5)$ or setting $3x + 1$ equal to 7500 and going from there.

Question 25

Those that knew the density, mass, volume formula generally scored 2 marks. Of those that did not gain 2 marks, most scored 0 for incorrect use of mass and volume, with the most common error being to multiply 27 and 10 instead of divide. Other incorrect methods involved trying a volume unit conversion, confusing units^3 with 10^3 and dividing 27 by 1000, and being unable to choose between m/v and $m \times v$ and stating both.

Question 26

It was common to see students gain the first method mark for rounding one of the figures appropriately, 6 or 8 on the numerator being seen most often. The majority then struggled with the next step which was to carry out an accurate calculation. Some were able to reach the digits 16, from attempting 48 ÷ 0.3 but resulting in incorrect place value. Some students attempted to work out the accurate calculation and then round the answer, gaining no marks. A few students were able to deal with the decimal value of 0.3 in the denominator by multiplying both the top and bottom of their fraction by 10. This is a useful skill for centres to continue teaching their students but in general dividing by decimals is a skill that needs more practice. Some students rounded 0.26 down to 0 which led to 48 ÷ 0 and were confused with what to do with the calculation.

Question 27

For part (a) there were many good attempts to expand the brackets but these attempts rarely resulted in credit being awarded. Common errors included $6x$ or $5x$ instead of $6x^2$ and incorrect signs being used. Those students using the grid method to expand the quadratic were usually successful at gaining at least 1 mark. In part (b) a small number of students were able to give a correct factorisation. Some students who gained B0 were able to give a pair of brackets with the product of the constants being -16, such as $(x + 2)(x - 8)$.

Summary

Based on the performance on this paper, students/centres should work on:

- measuring angles accurately.
- improving numeracy skills, including division skills for integers and decimals, multiplication skills for decimals.
- the interpretation of problems involving ratio.
- the formation of algebraic equations and solving them along with subsequent substitution to apply to problems in context.
- drawing a straight line graph by correctly calculating a table of values.
- using equilateral, isosceles and right-angled triangles to solve angle questions.
- encouraging students to show a full method for percentage calculation, noting that before method marks can be awarded a full explanation of each step has to be shown. If the answer is just stated then process marks will be lost if there are any arithmetic errors.
- encouraging students to set out their working using logical steps.
- encouraging students to read the question carefully so that they understand what they are required to do and what format the answer should be stated in.
- encouraging students to use approximations to check if their answers are sensible.
- encouraging students to set their work out in an orderly fashion.
- improving the expertise and accuracy required in geometric constructions.

and

- in questions requiring finding the prime factors of a number, encourage a more structured approach of dividing the number by the smallest prime numbers successively until the result was a prime number.
- ensure students know common metric equivalents from the specification, from one unit to another.
- practise arithmetic processes involving negative numbers.

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828
with its registered office at 80 Strand, London, WC2R 0RL, United Kingdom